322 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; asked and answered. 2 I don't recall speaking to them. 3 A. Q. Did anyone at CoinBase tell you that the 4 SEC had cleared their decision to list XRP under 5 the securities laws? 6 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 7 A. No. I don't recall anyone at CoinBase 8 telling me that the SEC had cleared their 9 10 decision. 11 Q. Because you'd remember something like 12 that, wouldn't you? 13 MR. CERESNEY: Objection; form. A. I -- I -- I don't recall. 14 15 My question is, do you think you would remember if somebody like a CoinBase told you 16 something like that? 17 I don't know. 18 A. 19 Q. Okay. Do you think you would have made 20 a public statement about the SEC clearing XRP for CoinBase's listing if CoinBase had told you 21 22 something like that? 23 MR. CERESNEY: Objection to form. 24 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. I -- I -- I mean, the only thing you've 25

323 1 refreshed in asking these questions, I remember CoinBase created some sort of framework for 2 determining a scoring system for digital assets 3 4 and they scored XRP at four or something. And I don't remember what the range was. And I believe 5 I knew before that CoinBase had met with the SEC 6 7 in creating that construct. But, again, I think your 8 question is, simply, did I speak to -- or 9 10 would I remember? I -- you know, I don't know. 11 12 Q. Did anyone tell you that CoinBase's 13 decision to list XRP had been affirmatively cleared by the SEC? 14 15 A. In what time frame? Q. At any time. 16 I think that starts to encroach on 17 attorney-client privilege. 18 19 Q. Other than counsel, did anyone tell you 20 that CoinBase's decision to list XRP had been 21 affirmatively cleared by the SEC? I think I read it on Twitter, so I guess 22 23 yes. 24 Q. People on Twitter told you? 25 A. I don't know. I -- I don't know.

MR. SOLOMON: Do you understand what he means by "affirmatively cleared"?

I just want to make sure you understand the questions that you're answering. And if you do, that's perfectly fine. If you don't, you need to ask for clarification.

- A. Can you clarify what you mean by "affirmatively cleared"?
- Q. Well, did anyone tell you that the SEC had approved of <a href="CoinBase">CoinBase</a>'s decision to list XRP?
  - A. I don't recall.

- Q. Okay. And did that include what you read on Twitter? Did anyone on Twitter tell you that the SEC had approved CoinBase's decision?
- A. You asked me a question is there any period of time. Certainly during the period of time -- and this is outside of attorney-client privilege conversations --
  - Q. Yes.
- A. -- I have read what I'm sure other

  people in this room have read on the Twitterverse,

  that people are speculating based upon various

  court filings that have become public about

  Exchange A meeting with the SEC and then

  subsequently listing XRP.

325 At the time of the listing of XRP, were you aware of CoinBase meeting with the SEC? 2 I don't believe so, no. 3 A. Okay. 4 0. I don't recall, but I'm not aware that I 5 would have known that. 6 7 Okay. When --Q. I don't know why I would have. A. 8 Did CoinBase tell you "We met with the 9 Q. 10 SEC"? I don't recall. 11 12 Did anyone at the SEC tell you "We met with CoinBase"? 13 A. I don't recall. 14 Q. Okay. Did anyone at Ripple tell you 15 "CoinBase just told us they met with the SEC"? 16 A. I don't recall. 17 Q. Did anyone at Ripple tell you "The SEC 18 just told us we met with CoinBase"? 19 20 Α. I still don't recall. Q. Okay. With respect to the rating --21 last question and then we can go on break. 22 23 With respect to the rating, do you 24 recall whether Ripple was classified as one of the 25 most likely to be a security by CoinBase's ratings

326 of the assets they raised -- they rated? I'm 2 sorry. MR. SOLOMON: I didn't hear that 3 question. I'm so sorry. Would you ask 4 that one more time? 5 Q. With respect to the CoinBase rating, do 6 7 you recall whether Ripple was classified as one of the most likely to be a security? And maybe I 8 should have said XRP. 9 10 A. Yeah. 11 Q. Do you recall whether XRP was classified 12 as one of the most likely to be a security of the 13 ones they rated? 14 I remember on the scale they had, it was 15 on the closer to security than -- where I think they had bitcoin at the lowest point. They had 16 17 it, you know, below the threshold of where they viewed it as a security, and a whole lot of things 18 19 falling to the side, but not as good as bitcoin. 20 Q. And did you -- and did you find that 21 out, that rating out, before or after the listing decision? 22 23 A. I don't recall. 24 Q. Okay. MR. TENREIRO: Let's take a 25

```
327
             break.
                      THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Going
   2
             off the record, 3:21.
   3
                      (Whereupon, a recess is taken.)
                      THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Back on
   5
             at 3:39.
   6
   7
                      (Whereupon, exhibit is received
             and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
  8
             Exhibit 127 for identification.)
  9
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
10
 11
            Q. Let me show you Exhibit 127,
12
       Mr. Garlinghouse.
                    MR. TENREIRO: This is one of the
 13
           ones I only have four copies of so I
 14
 15
            apologize in advance. In fact, I just
 16
            gave you my copy.
 17
                      (Pause)
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 18
 19
            Q. Okay. So earlier I had asked you about
 20
       whether Ripple had offered $1 million to Gemini to
       list XRP.
  21
                 Do you recall that?
  22
  23
           A. No.
  24
           Q. Okay. Did Ripple offer Gemini a million
       dollars to list XRP?
  25
```

- A. Based upon this e-mail, it looks like

  Patrick Griffin offered Gemini a million dollars

  to list XRP.
- Q. Did Patrick -- Patrick Griffin have the authority to do that without some sort of authorization?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. And you were copied on this e-mail, correct?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Setting aside the email of payments for listing XRP, is it fair to say that Ripple facilitated some exchanges listing XRP with, like, integration services, support, things of that nature, not necessarily monetary?
  - A. I think that's fair.
- Q. Okay. And did Ripple provide that sort of help with respect to all the 200 exchanges that you mentioned that listed XRP at some point or with respect to only a fraction of them?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

- Q. Again, not -- not the monetary stuff, just helping with integrating or things of that nature.
  - MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

- A. My understanding is Ripple had nothing to do with the listing of XRP on the vast majority of those 200 exchanges and change that have chosen to list XRP.
  - Q. That's fine.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

25

What percentage of that 200 or so did
Ripple provide integration support or something of
that nature that's not necessarily monetary, but
some support?

- 10 A. I don't know.
- Q. And who would know?
- 12 A. I don't know.
  - 13 Q. Okay. Let's move on from that.

I think earlier you testified that you

15 sold your XRP for fiat and only for U.S. dollars,

16 is that correct?

- 10 Is that correct:
- A. I believe that was my testimony. I
  believe -- to the best of my recollection, I only
  recall selling my XRP for U.S. dollars.
  - Q. Okay. And when you were CEO, what about Ripple's sales of -- of XRP? Were those in exchange for -- for U.S. dollars or for other assets?
- MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.
  - A. I don't know. I don't recall.

330 Well, while you have been CEO, are you aware that Ripple sold its XRP for dollars? 2 3 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And did you approve, as Ripple's 4 CEO, XRP sales? 5 A. 6 Yes. 7 Q. Okay. And sitting here today, do you know whether XRP -- whether Ripple sold XRP for 8 other digital assets such as bitcoin? 9 10 A. I believe the answer's yes, but I don't really know. 11 12 Q. Would you know the order of magnitude of 13 the amount of bitcoin Ripple sold its XRP for? 14 A. No. Q. Okay. And since, you know -- up until 15 today -- I think you said your last sale of XRP 16 17 was around -- your personal, Mr. Garlinghouse, 18 sale of XRP, what is the total amount in U.S. dollars that you've sold? 19 20 A. I don't know. 21 Q. Ballpark. 22 My -- my ballpark estimate is from what 23 the SEC has asserted in their litigation. 24 Q. So around \$159 million?

I believe that's the number that the SEC

25

A.

331 1 has put forth. I have not audited that. Q. Do you have your own -- before you, you 2 3 know, saw the SEC's allegation about the number, did you have your own sort of ballpark of how much 4 you sold, or no? 5 6 A. I did not. 7 You didn't keep track of it? Q. I did not personally keep track of it. A. 8 Did you have someone keep track of it 9 Q. 10 for you? Indirectly I have tax professionals and 11 A. 12 accounting professionals that work for me which 13 would have had that visibility. Q. Okay. And so sitting here today, to the 14 best of your understanding, it -- it's around \$159 15 million? 16 A. I have no reason to doubt the accounting 17 18 of the SEC, but I also have never taken the time to audit that information. 19 20 Q. Why not? 21 A. Why? Okay. That's fine. 22 Q. 23 A. I'm not trying to be argumentative. 24 Q. Why bother? Okay. I don't think it has a bearing on 25 A.

whether or not XRP's a security.

- Q. Oh, I -- I certainly didn't mean to imply that. I -- I just was asking why you had never done that, if there was any particular reason. You know, I was too busy. It didn't matter to me. Was there any particular reason? Maybe there wasn't.
- A. I've never added up how much I've paid in taxes for the last, you know, several years. I don't, you know --
  - Q. Probably a good idea.

So did -- sorry.

Ripple's sales of XRP since you've been CEO, how much has Ripple sold for -- you know, how much in U.S. dollars has Ripple obtained for the XRP it has sold?

- A. During my -- I don't know.
- Q. Could you ballpark that?
- A. I mean, I believe the SEC's litigation asserts that it's order of magnitude of \$600 or \$700 million. I'm actually not sure about that either. But I have no reason to believe it's materially different.
- Q. Is the -- I think you said you started selling XRP sometime in 2017. Is that fair?

- A. Yes, I believe that was my testimony.
- Q. And then I think your last sale was in Dec -- around December 2020?
- A. Yes. That's -- to the best of my recollection, yes.

- Q. In that period of time, between the first and last sales of XRP for -Mr. Garlinghouse, for you, did you -- was -- were proceeds from your sales of XRP the largest source of your income?
- A. I mean, like many things in life, it's

  a -- subject to your perspective and how you value

  option grants and equity and vested equity and

  those types of things. In terms of what I

  reported on my tax returns, the answer to that

  question would be yes.
  - Q. And can you give me the order of magnitude, what was, like, the second largest source of your income that you reported? Was it your salary or was it the sale of some assets or what was it?

MR. SOLOMON: I just want to caution you at this point to just maintain fidelity with the judge's order where she expressly said that the SEC -- it would

not be appropriate for the SEC to try to measure Mr. Garlinghouse's sales of XRP against other aspects of his financial portrait in order to try to build some motive argument.

I'm going to give you a little
bit of latitude on this. I just want to
make sure you're not going around that
order. I'd just remind you of that.

A. I don't know.

- Q. Would that be reflected, I guess, in your tax returns or your bank records or where?
- A. The first place I'd probably look is my tax returns. My -- my salary would not have been the second highest source of income. But I am an investor in a bunch of private companies, in various investment funds. Some of those have been very successful and so I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Without getting into the details of what those investments are, I'm just trying to get order of magnitude. Like, was it, you know, a small fraction compared to the XRP proceeds or was it about the same amount, for example, as your XRP proceeds?
  - A. I -- I -- I don't know exactly how to

compare those, you know. Maybe there's one, just, example. I invested in a start-up called started by a guy who used to work for me, and it yielded, you know, somewhere north of to of proceeds from a relatively small investment.

So, you know, how does that compare in any one year against XRP grants? You know, I -- we'd have to go year by year and compare various sources of income and what is sold and not sold. So I don't know how to answer the question exactly.

- Q. All right: Fair enough. Let's move on.

  So going back to Ripple's sales of XRP,
  is it fair to say Ripple sought to raise U.S.
  dollars by its sales of XRP?
  - A. With -- in what time period, I guess?
  - Q. While you were CEO.
- A. So while I have been CEO, Ripple has sold XRP to its customers and Ripple has sold XRP programmatically. As I believe the SEC is aware, we no longer sell XRP programmatically. I think, as I testified earlier, we have sold XRP both for dollars as well as, I think in some occasions, for other digital assets.

Q. And when you reference sales to customers, are you talking about customers that use a product that at some point was called ODL?

- A. Yes, but a specific -- you know, there's a piece of -- one of the exhibits earlier referenced XR -- or XRP-O, XRP-Origination. We now call that Wallet Send. And that would be sales of XRP to customers who are using it for payment flows.
  - Q. And when did those sales begin?
  - A. I think the summer of 2020.
- Q. Okay. Before those sales, for example, with respect to Ripple's programmatic sales of XRP, was Ripple seeking to raise U.S. dollars in exchange for its XRP sales -- for its XRP?
- A. Ripple was seeking to sell XRP in exchange for dollars.
- Q. Okay. Do you know how many decimals a unit of XRP is divisible into?
  - A. How many decimals?
    - Q. Yeah. How many zeroes can you get to?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know what the smallest unit of XRP is called?
  - A. I think it's called a drop.

- Q. And so do you know what fraction of one unit of XRP a drop is?
  - A. I don't know.

- Q. Okay. Are you -- is one unit of XRP distinguishable from any other unit of XRP as far as you know?
- A. Effectively, I think that's true. I
  think -- I suppose there's some technical argument
  that an individual unit of XRP sits in one
  specific area of a blockchain, which may
  distinguish it from another, but I don't know the
  intricacies of that.
- Q. Beyond that, is there anything else that might distinguish one unit from another as far as you know?
  - A. I can't think of anything right now.
- Q. Okay. When -- when there is a change in the price of XRP in the market, does that change, as far as you know, apply to all the units of XRP or does it apply to some of them?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

- A. I believe it applies to all of them.
- Q. Okay. And have you ever had any different understanding than that?
  - A. I mean, I think I'm confused by the

338 1 question. Are there different prices for different units of XRP? 2 3 Q. Yeah. I mean, I guess it depends a little bit 4 on -- I mean, there have been times in my 5 6 experience where some exchanges might have a 7 slightly different price than another exchange despite the fact that there are effectively 8 indistinguishable units of XRP between 9 10 exchanges --11 THE REPORTER: Slow down. 12 "There are effectively 13 indistinguishable"? A. Unit of XRP between the exchanges. And 14 15 that's where you have market makers facilitate -you know, they're trying to make money by trading 16 17 the difference. 18 Q. Okay. In connection with being Ripple's 19 CEO, did you approve sales targets for XRP? 20 MR. SOLOMON: Objection to form. I'm not sure I would characterize them 21 A. 22 as targets as much as forecasts. Maybe those are 23 similar, but ... 24 Q. Well, did Ripple ever have sales targets with respect to sales of XRP? 25

339 1 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. I expect there are documents which would 2 have referred to a sales target. I think in my 3 mind that would have been relatively 4 indistinguishable from a sales forecast of what we 5 6 expected to be sold of XRP in a certain time 7 period. Q. Programmatic sales were based on volume? 8 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 9 10 A. That's correct. Programmatic sales were based upon daily market activity of the overall 11 12 XRP market. 13 Q. And so to the extent you might have had 14 a sales forecast, was Ripple forecasting what they 15 thought the volume would be, in essence? In essence. 16 A. 17 Okay. Did Ripple grant XRP to employees from time to time when you were CEO? 18 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Did you approve those grants? 21 A. Yes. 22 To whom did Ripple offer XR -- I'm Q. 23 sorry. 24 To whom did Ripple grant XRP while you were CEO that you might have approved? 25

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

A. Mr. Vias did ask to receive various compensation denominated in XRP.

Q. To the extent that you approved granting XRP to employees, was one of the reasons to do that to closer align the employees' interests with the company's interest?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

A. My own philosophy about compensation in Silicon Valley is that the best way to align incentives is the long-standing kind of tradition, if you will, of using equity.

And so, to my knowledge, every employee that joins Ripple receives equity either in the form of an option or in an RSU. And I think that's the best way to align interests between employees and shareholders.

Q. Now -- no, I understand your testimony about the best way to align interest. My question is slightly different, which is, to the extent that you granted employees XRP, was one of the reasons to further align their interests with the interests of the company?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

A. I -- I guess the distinction I'm making

```
342
       is I guess they're already fully aligned if you --
  1
       they have equity. And I don't know that it
  2
       further -- if you already have kind of fully
  3
       aligned incentives, can you further align it? You
  4
       know, I viewed it as a compensation tool.
  5
  6
            Q. Okay. And did someone recommend to you
  7
       that you grant XRP to employees from time to time
       as compensation?
  8
  9
                 I suspect, yes.
            A.
10
           Q.
                 Who?
11
           A. I don't recall.
12
           Q. Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 1 real
 13
       quick.
                      (Whereupon, exhibit is received
 14
             and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
 15
             Exhibit 1 for identification.)
 16
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 17
          Q. This is an employment offer letter. And
 18
 19
       I'm not going to ask you much about it -- it would
 20
       take too much time to read it, I think -- but I do
 21
       want to see if you see your signature in any of
 22
       these pages.
 23
                 I do see my signature.
 24
            Q. Okay. And do you see that on which
 25
       page?
```

```
344
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
1
  2
            Q. Are you reading the Appendix?
            A. Yeah. It references the exhibits, so I
  3
4
       was going to take a quick look.
5
            Q. Okay.
                 (Pause)
6
                 Okay.
7
            A.
            Q. Okay. When you started working at
 8
9
       Ripple, is it fair to say you received a salary in
       dollars, an XRP loan as it's described here, and
 10
 11
       shares in the company?
                      MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.
 12
 13
            A.
                 No.
 14
            Q. What did you receive?
                 I received a salary, bonus and equity.
 15
                 The bonus was -- the salary was the U.S.
 16
            Q.
       dollars and the XRP?
 17
 18
            A.
                 No.
                 What was the salary?
 19
            Q.
                 I believe it's correct. It was
 20
 21
 22
                And what is that
 23
       structured as a four-year loan?
            A. I don't know.
 24
                                                XRP?
 25
            Q. Did you receive that
```

A. Not in 2015.

Q. In the bonus pool, your bonus is based on, at least for Q1, for the year ending Q1 2018,

percent of XRP revenue.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And did you receive a bonus based on percent of XRP revenue?
  - A. I expect I did, yes.
- Q. Okay. So the higher the XRP revenue for Ripple, the higher the bonus for you?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And is it fair that in 2016 you entered into sort of an XRP unit bonus award or a grant? I think we talked -- touched upon it earlier briefly.
- A. I -- I -- nothing in this document references that.
- Q. Separate from that document. You can forget that one.

Is it fair that at some point later in time, you entered into an agreement with Ripple whereby if you met certain metrics, you would get XRP that vested over a four-year term?

A. I -- I recall the macro construct. When

```
346
       I was promoted to CEO, I received -- actually, to
   1
       be honest, I don't know exactly when I received
   2
   3
       that, but, yes, I received a XRP grant.
           Q. Who negotiated that?
   4
                 No one.
   5
           A.
           Q.
                It was just given to you by someone?
   6
  7
            A.
                That's correct.
           Q.
                 By whom?
  8
           A.
                 Chris Larsen.
  9
                 You did not negotiate it with him?
10
           Q.
           A.
11
                 No.
12
            Q.
                 Did you discuss it with him before he
       gave it to you?
13
 14
           A. No.
            Q.
                 Did you discuss it with him at any time?
 15
            A. Yes.
  16
            Q. And what did you discuss with him about
 17
       this grant?
 18
  19
          A. Well, as the grant -- the implementation
  20
       of the grant became complicated for the company
  21
       because of how taxes are accrued, earned, and paid
       by the company. And so we certainly had
  22
  23
       conversations about how to mitigate those risks,
  24
       challenges, to the company.
           Q. What do you mean when you say it "became
  25
```

```
348
   1
      around volumetric -- a volume metric for XRP?
            A. I -- I believe that is correct.
   2
   3
            Q. Okay. And what about was there a part
       of the vesting that had to do with a volume weight
   4
       average price for XRP?
   5
  6
           A. You'd have to explain that a little. I
       don't know. I don't know.
   7
            Q. Sitting here today you don't know?
 8
           A. No.
 9
           Q. Okay. Let's move on. Well -- yeah,
10
11
       let's move on.
                     MR. TENREIRO: Let's look at
12
13
           Exhibit 15, please.
                 (Whereupon, exhibit is received
14
            and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
15
          Exhibit 15 for identification.)
 16
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 17
         Q. Here you go. Can you pass some of
18
       those --
 19
 20
                   MR. SOLOMON: Can we go off the
            record for 30 seconds --
  21
                   MR. TENREIRO: Sure.
  22
  23
                   MR. SOLOMON: -- just 30 seconds?
  24
                   MR. TENREIRO: Sure.
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going -- going
  25
```

```
349
           off the record at 4:11.
1
2
                 (Pause)
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Back on
3
4
            at 4:12.
5
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
       Q. All right. Can you please take a look
6
       at the exhibit?
7
8
                    MR. TENREIRO: And, I think,
9
            Matt, you have some of the copies that --
 10
                    MR. SOLOMON: Oh, yeah.
 11
                   MR. TENREIRO: -- that you can
            pass down. Thank you.
 12
 13
                   MR. SOLOMON: Okay.
 14
                   MR. TENREIRO: That one is for
 15
            Bridget.
                   THE WITNESS: She's got one.
 16
                    MR. TENREIRO: She's got it?
 17
                    THE WITNESS: Yeah.
18
                    MR. TENREIRO: There's more.
 19
 20
                    All right. Just for the record,
                    58119, a three-page
            this is
 22
            document.
 23
                    THE WITNESS: Sorry?
                    MR. SOLOMON: I was just saying
 24
 25
            give it back. Sorry.
```

```
350
   1
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
                 Have you read it?
   2
           Q.
   3
            A.
                 No.
   4
           Q.
                 Okay.
                 Do you have an extra pen?
   5
           A.
   6
           Q.
                Just if you mark that --
   7
                 You can have it.
            A.
           Q.
                 Okay. It's better if you don't.
 8
            A.
                 Oh.
  9
10
                     MR. SOLOMON: Don't --
11
                 It's better if you don't.
            0.
12
           A.
                 All right.
                     MR. SOLOMON: If you want to make
13
           a check or a slash, that's fine. Don't
14
15
             put words on it.
 16
                     THE WITNESS: Sorry.
 17
                     MR. SOLOMON: Whatever helps you
 18
             absorb it is okay.
  19
                   THE WITNESS: All right.
 20
                     (Pause)
  21
            A. Okay.
  22
           Q. Okay. Mr. Garlinghouse, from time to
  23
      time, is it fair to say that you provided, you
  24
       know, quotes for the press with respect to Ripple
  25
       and XRP such as what we see in this exhibit?
```

351 1 A. Yes. And, again, I think we discussed this 2 Q. briefly, but from time to time you appeared on 3 television -- CNN, CNBC -- to talk about Ripple 4 and XRP, is that right? 5 6 Α. Yes. And when you spoke publicly about Ripple 7 0. or XRP, you spoke truthfully, right? 8 A. Yes. 9 10 Q. You spoke -- and when you stated your beliefs, you stated your true beliefs at the time, 11 12 is that correct? 13 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Here you say "We have had a 14 15 significant rally in XRP prices," but is -- "but it is reflective of a lot of work we have done to 16 make Ripple a very compelling solution." 17 18 Do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that in April 21 of 2017, you expressed to your mark -- to the 22 market your view that part of the increase in 23 XRP's price was due to Ripple's efforts? 24 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 25 A. At -- at various times, I would say,

```
352
   1
        particularly in 2017, I believed, in trying to
        understand the market activity, that Ripple's
   2
        activity was being positively received.
   3
           Q.
                 In trying to understand the market
   4
        activity with respect to XRP? Is that what you're
   5
        talking about?
   6
   7
            A.
                 Yep.
            Q. Okay. And are you talking about in part
   8
        of the market activity with respect to XRP's
   9
10
        price?
                 In part.
11
            A.
12
            Q. Okay. And at some point did your -- did
 13
       this belief change, the belief that in market
        activity -- that Ripple's activity was being
 14
       positively receive -- received?
  15
  16
            A.
                 Yes.
             Q. Was that after April 2018?
  17
                 I -- I don't recall.
 18
            A.
                 Do you recall if it was before April
  19
             Q.
  20
        2018?
                 I don't recall.
  21
            A.
  22
                 Okay. Do you recall what prompted the
            Q.
  23
        change?
  24
            A.
                 As time has gone by in my kind of six
        and a half years of watching crypto markets more
  25
```

- attentively having joined Ripple, I'm not clear
  what drives any digital asset, including XRP. And
  as the article highlights, which I was going to
  underline, you know, there's -- even
  contemporaneously, obviously as evidenced by the
  article, there's disagreement about what's driving
  the XRP market.
  - Q. Okay. In the article they quote people thinking there's manipulation?
  - A. Well, they quote people suggesting

    that -- a number of different things. One of the

    things I think there's somebody quoted -- I have

    to go back and find it -- of saying there should

    be a pump-and-dump.
    - Q. Right.

But your belief in April of 2017 was that there was some relationship between price activity and Ripple's own efforts, correct?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection.

- A. Well, first of all, I think you just said 2018 and this --
  - 0. 2017.
- 23 A. -- email is from 2017.
- 24 Q. 2017.
- 25 A. In -- in 2017 I think I had the

- misunderstood belief that there was some rationality to the crypto markets, of which I still am not clear there is.
- Q. And what I'd asked you earlier was at what point did that belief change? I think you said as time has gone by, you know, you're not clear what drives any digital asset. But what I'm trying to figure out is at what point did you go from believing that there was a relationship between Ripple's efforts and XRP's price and discuss -- deciding that you just don't know what's clear?

MR. SOLOMON: You're building words into your question, too. I think if you could just put the question to him instead of the preamble and the windup with your gloss, it would be -- I think make for a clearer record.

## BY MR. TENREIRO:

Q. At what point did you --

MR. SOLOMON: I don't think he ever used the words "Ripple's efforts," for example. I'm not trying to be difficult. But if you just ask him questions about what he thought, he can

356 1 Do you see that? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you responded "I agree on all points," right? 4 5 A. Yes. 6 You did not disagree that there were no glaring inaccuracies? 7 A. We can -- you know, I mean, as I read 8 the article today, I identify inaccuracies. We 9 10 can debate whether or not they're glaring. And what I thought in 2017, I can't recall. 11 12 Q. Such as the consistent reference to 13 Ripple instead of XRP? Is that one of the inaccuracies you would identify today? 14 15 That would be one example that, you know, market participants, particularly in 2017, 16 had confusion around. 17 Q. In fact, the piece refers to "What's 18 19 Driving Ripple's Price to All-Time Highs," 20 correct? That's the title? A. 21 That is the title, yes. 22 And then it says, in the second 0. 23 paragraph of the piece, "Ripple has surged more 24 than 1,000 percent." Do you see that? 25

357 1 A. No. It says "The cryptocurrency that powers 2 Q. the distributed Ripple Consensus Ledger, a 3 business-focused distributed ledger technology 4 platform developed by San Francisco start-up 5 6 Ripple, has surged more than 1,000 per over the 7 last 30 days." A. Correct. 8 Is that a reference to XRP? 9 I believe that's what the author 10 11 references, yes. 12 Q. On the second page, right before 13 "Growing Credibility," it says "As for the decline in price that soon followed" -- "that soon 14 15 followed, analysts said this may have marked a period of profit taking where long-term Ripple 16 holders sold and brought back at a lower price to 17 increase the return on their investment." 18 19 Do you see that? 20 A. Yes. Q. And that reference to Ripple is a 21 22 reference to XRP? 23 I -- I believe that's correct. 24 Q. Would you agree that it was common in 2017 for market participants to refer to XRP and 25

358 1 Ripple interchangeably? MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 2 A. I think in 2017 uneducated market 3 participants mistakenly conflated Ripple and XRP, 4 and Ripple worked hard to combat and clarify that. 5 6 Q. When did Ripple begin to work hard to 7 combat and clarify that? I remember in my -- I mean, earlier than 8 2017. 9 Q. And why didn't you combat it in this 10 article, or did you? 11 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 12 13 A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. But you began combating that 14 15 before 2017 is your recollection? A. I am very confident that from the 16 earliest days of Ripple, we sought to reduce, and 17 18 ideally eliminate, the confusion through many 19 media outlets. 20 Q. The confusion about equating Ripple and XRP? Is that what you're talking about? 21 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. And when you say early Ripple days, you 24 mean early when you joined, correct? 25 A. That's correct.

Q. And you said many --

- A. Although, actually, my vague recollection is, even before I arrived, that employees had sought to clarify. One manifestation of that would be CoinMarketCap, which listed XRP as -- and used "Ripple" in the name.
  - Q. Called them Ripples?
  - A. I don't believe CoinMarketCap called them Ripples.
    - Q. Just called them Ripple?
- A. I -- I don't recall. I remember encouraging CoinMarketCap to clarify and correct that.
- Q. In 2017, at least with respect to this article, do you recall if you engaged in that effort to make that clarification?
- A. I -- I don't recall as it relates to this particular article. I'm certain that if we looked at contemporaneous emails, we'd find scores of emails fighting the good fight, trying to get corrections, which, frankly, persist to some degree today.
  - Q. That confusion persists today?
  - A. I think that there are some media

360 1 outlets which incorrectly will quote a price and say Ripple when it's not a price of Ripple. 2 3 Q. Okay. So you -- you would say there's probably scores of emails that reflect this --4 these efforts? 5 6 A. I'm hazarding a guess, but, yeah. 7 Q. And would that be true after 2017? So do you think Ripple engaged in scores of efforts 8 to correct the impression in the market or the 9 10 equation of Ripple and XRP? Do you think that's true in 2018? 11 12 MR. SOLOMON: Objection to form. 13 Q. Let me start again. 14 Did Ripple in the year 2018 engage in 15 efforts to correct the impression in the market equating Ripple and XRP? 16 A. Yes. 17 Did Ripple engage in those efforts in 18 19 2019? 20 A. I suspect, yes. 21 Q. And did Ripple engage in those efforts 22 in 2020? 23 A. I believe so. 24 Q. To the extent that you -- I think you 25 said -- you said that this persists to some degree

361 1 today. Do you have any view as to why this 2 persists today, equating Ripple and XRP? 3 4 Not of consequence. I think there's A. probably some people who with malintent seek to 5 6 conflate and there's some that just don't take the 7 time to correct. Frankly, if I may, in our 8 conversation today, you have mistakenly used Ripple instead of XRP on a couple of occasions. 9 Q. If I may, you have as well --10 11 A. Right. Q. -- in -- in some of your conversations. 12 13 We'll get to recordings, but you have as well in the past, correct? 14 15 I try really, really hard not to. Q. Okay. To the extent that there's 16 malintent by someone -- and I'm assuming you 17 weren't referring to me but --18 A. 19 No. 20 Q. -- to the extent you were -- to the 21 extent there's malintent by someone, you know, conflating XRP and Ripple, why would that -- why 22 23 would that reflect malintent? To the extent 24 that's the motivation. I'm trying to understand. How does it hurt Ripple if it's conflated? 25

A. I -- I don't want to speculate as to what other people think because I don't know. I 2 3 think -- you know, one thing I think we have seen consistently in the crypto markets is there are 4 people who put out misinformation intentionally. 5 6 Q. Just to sort of create chaos or -- I'm 7 just asking what you --A. I think there was an announcement last 8 week that Walmart was accepting Litecoin -- or, 9 10 no, Amazon. I can't remember. Somebody. And it 11 looked like a press release from Amazon or 12 Walmart. And the price of Litecoin for, you know, 13 some period of hours went up a bunch. And then I 14 think Walmart or Amazon had to come out and correct the record. It would be an example. 15 Q. So an example might be to manipulate the 16 17 price of a token? I don't know. 18 A. Q. Okay. In the quote you say "It is 19 20 reflective of a lot of work we have done." 21 What work are you referring to? I don't know. 22 A. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR. TENREIRO: Can I please have 25 16? Thanks. And 17. Sorry. You're

```
363
             there.
1
  2
                       (Whereupon, exhibit is received
             and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
3
             Exhibit 16 for identification.)
5
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
                 All right. Here's Exhibit 16.
6
7
            A.
                 Thank you.
            0.
 8
                 Yep.
 9
                 So just so we're on the same page, the
       prior exhibit, which was 15, was an article -- or
 10
 11
       discussing an article on April 16th, 2017.
       Exhibit 16 is an email from you to Mr. Larsen and
 12
       others on April 7th, 2017. The Bates stamp is
 13
               RIPPLE 10806.
 14
       SEC
                 Please take a lock.
 15
 16
                      MR. SOLOMON: Thank you.
                      (Pause)
 17
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 18
            Q. Mr. Garlinghouse, when was the last time
 19
 20
       you checked the price of XRP?
 21
            A.
                 This morning.
 22
                 Okay. And how often do you check it?
 23
                 I usually check it in the morning and
 24
       then, depending upon how busy I am, you know,
 25
       maybe once or twice during the day.
```

```
364
   1
           Q. Okay. Do you check it on an app?
            A.
   2
                No.
            Q. How do you check it?
   3
   4
                I typically use either CryptoCompare.com
       or CoinMarketCap.
   5
   6
           Q. All right. A website?
  7
           A. Those are both websites.
           Q. They don't have an app?
  8
          A.
                I don't know.
  9
10
           Q. Okay. This email that I just showed you
       refers to the "XRP rally" at the bottom.
11
12
        Do you see that?
13
           A. Yes.
           Q. Okay. When we're talking about a rally
 14
 15
       in -- in XRP, are we talking about an increase in
       its price?
  16
            A. I think that's fair.
  17
 18
           Q. You say "I don't usually address the
  19
       price of the digital asset XRP," and then you
 20
       feel compelled to share some of your thoughts, et
  21
      cetera.
  22
                Do you see that part? It's on the
  23
      second page.
  24
          Α.
                I do.
           Q. Okay. Again, just to ask, again, when
  25
```

366 April of 2017, you had the belief that if things 1 did not go well for bitcoin, that might be good 2 for XRP? 3 4 MR. SOLOMON: Objection. A. I don't recall. 5 6 Okay. And isn't that sort of what you 7 were expressing here, that people are realizing that bitcoin has limitations as a solution for 8 9 transactions? That is one of the things that might explain the XRP price rally? 10 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 11 I -- I think that's a reasonable 12 13 interpretation of what's here. I think, yeah, there won't be one digital asset to rule them all 14 and I think bitcoin can be successful and XRP can 15 be successful and serve different use cases. 16 Q. That's what you think today? 17 A. Yes, that is what I think today. 18 Q. Okay. Then you say "Investors may also 19 20 be connecting the dots that bank adoption of 21 Ripple's solution creates the opportunity for us to deepen those customer relationships and 22 23 engagement by delivering on what" -- underlined --"Project Xenon (our trial with and 12 top 24

global banks) demonstrated. While we are still

```
368
 1
      valuable digital assets at the time; if not number
      two, number three. And, so, I think including
 2
 3
      XRP.
           Q. Are you talking about most valuable by
 4
      market cap or by price?
 5
 6
         A. By market cap.
 7
           Q. Okay. And I -- I'm not interested in
      any sort of legal use of the word "investor." I
 8
      think -- you said you have an MBA. So I want what
 9
      you understand as investor. That's all I care --
10
      I care about.
11
12
                So when you said "investors," I want to
13
      know what -- who were you referring to? Investors
      in what?
14
15
           A.
               I don't know.
           Q. Okay. One second.
16
                     MR. TENREIRO: Let's do -- do we
17
          have 17 here? Let's do 17, which is an
18
19
            email two days later.
20
                    (Whereupon, exhibit is received
21
            and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
22
           Exhibit 17 for identification.)
23
                    MR. TENREIRO: Here you go.
24
                    (Pause)
      BY MR. TENREIRO:
25
```

369 So, Mr. Garlinghouse, is it fair to say 1 that this email is an email to the board where you 2 include the prior email we just saw and then some 3 additional commentary on top? 4 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. All right. Here you're also discussing, 7 in part, "the dramatic spike in XRP price and market activity." That's the third paragraph. 8 9 Do you see that? 10 A. Yes. You say "It's a game-changer for us on a 11 0. 12 bunch of levels." 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 0. Is "us" Ripple in that sentence? 16 A. I believe, yes. 17 Okay. Then you say "On an operating 18 level, for XRP to serve the purpose of lowering 19 liquidity costs for payments, it needs deep 20 liquidity across fiat currency pairs. Speculative 21 and market trading volume builds that 22 liquidity - they are the catalyst to the XRP 23 flywheel. The recent rally has us moved that 24 flywheel into a much higher gear, which puts us in 25 a much stronger position to execute on other

370 1 projects that continues to fuel the flywheel." Do you see all of that? 2 3 A. Yes. Okay. When you refer to "speculative 4 and market trading volume, " are you talking about 5 speculative and market trading volume in XRP? 6 7 A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And can you explain in your own 8 words why speculative and market trading volume in 9 10 XRP are the catalyst to the XRP flywheel? 11 Typically, the more liquidity you have 12 in a market, the tighter the spreads you would 13 have in that market. The tighter the spreads, the more efficient the market. The more efficient the 14 market, the more demand for those payment flows. 15 16 THE REPORTER: Those payment? 17 THE WITNESS: Flows. And payment flows is something that 18 Ripple is in the business of generally? 19 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. Okay. And so to build that -- so you said typically the more liquidity, the tighter the 22 23 spread, et cetera. 24 In other words, do you need speculative and market trading volume in XRP to build the 25

371 1 liquidity that starts this process that you just described? 2 3 A. That's what the email says, yes. Q. Well, is that true? 4 A. I think it's a hypothesis that we have 5 seen generally play out in the crypto markets, 6 7 yes. Q. And have you -- has Ripple come to no 8 longer believe in that hypothesis? 9 10 A. No. Q. Okay. So is this -- to catalyze the 11 12 XRP -- the XRP flywheel, do you still need 13 speculative and market trading volume in XRP? A. I think you need liquidity. Anything 14 15 that drives liquidity is going to be constructive to what I'm calling a flywheel. 16 Q. Right. 17 And speculative interest in the asset 18 19 could drive liquidity? 20 A. Yeah, I think I'm saying that it has in that particular time period. 21 22 Q. Today can it drive liquidity? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Okay. And have you had any -- have you ever had an understanding different to that, that 25

372 speculative trading of an asset in XRP would not 1 drive its liquidity? 2 I can't think of an example. 3 A. Q. Okay. Throughout your time as CEO of 4 Ripple, you have understood that people were 5 buying XRP as an investment, is that right? 6 7 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. Q. I'm not asking --8 A. 9 No. -- for legal conclusions. I'm asking 10 for, you know, just the word "investment" as an 11 12 English speaker. 13 A. Can you ask the question again, please? Yeah. Throughout your time as Ripple's 14 15 CEO, you understood, did you not, that some people were buying XRP as an investment? 16 17 A. I -- I -- I don't want to speculate as to why people are buying XRP. 18 19 Q. Well, no. No need to speculate. 20 Did people tell you on Twitter, in personal -- in emails that, in fact, they viewed 21 22 it as an investment throughout your time as CEO? 23 I -- I can't recall a -- I don't know 24 why people -- for me to draw a broad conclusion 25 about why people choose to trade XRP would be hard

373 1 to do. Q. Right. Don't draw a broad conclusion 2 about all people. I'm just saying, did you 3 understand that some people were buying XRP as an 4 investment? 5 6 A. So the hypothet -- I mean, you're kind 7 of asking me a hypothetical. Is it possible that someone out there on the planet that has chosen to 8 buy XRP has chosen to buy it as an investment? I 9 think the answer is yes. I -- I don't know why 10 individuals and haven't taken the time to try to 11 12 dissect why each person might choose to speculate 13 in XRP. Q. The -- the XRP markets were important to 14 15 you as Ripple's CEO, to your job, right? The liquidity in XRP markets is very 16 17 important to me. 18 Q. Right. 19 And -- and understanding what might 20 drive the liquidity was very important to you as well? 21 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. How to get more liquidity was important 24 to you? More liquidity was better than less 25 A.

374 1 liquidity, for sure. Q. Is it fair to say that throughout your 2 time as Ripple's CEO, you have made efforts to 3 understand the XRP market? 4 A. I have tried, yes. 5 6 Q. Okay. In connection with your work and 7 your efforts to understand the market, do you understand that some participants in the market 8 for XRP are speculators in XRP's price? 9 A. I believe that's probably true, yes. 10 Q. Okay. Did you ever take any steps to 11 12 restrict people from speculating on the price of XRP? 13 MR. CERESNEY: Objection. 14 15 A. I can't recall. Did you ever restrict people from buying 16 XRP as an investment? 17 MR. CERESNEY: Objection; form. 18 MR. SOLOMON: Did he -- his XRP? 19 20 Ripple's XRP? 21 MR. TENREIRO: Let's start with 22 Ripple's. 23 MR. SOLOMON: Okay. 24 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question, then? 25

375 1 BY MR. TENREIRO: Q. Did you ever take efforts to stop people 2 from buying XRP to speculate on its price? 3 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 4 I don't recall. 5 Q. Did you ever take steps to restrict 6 7 people from buying Ripple's XRP as an investment? MR. SOLOMON: Objection. 8 I don't recall. 9 A. 10 Q. And did you ever take steps to restrict people from buying your XRP as an investment? 11 12 A . I don't recall. 13 Q. In April of 2017, was XRP being used for 14 a payment flow? A. I expect yes. 15 Q. 16 How? I -- I -- I mean, there's hundreds of 17 18 thousands, maybe millions, of people in the XRP 19 markets. And is there a likelihood that some of 20 those people were using XRP for payment flows? I 21 think the answer's almost certainly yes. I don't necessarily know how. I think your question was 22 23 how were they using it for payment flows. 24 Q. No. Was it being used for payment flows? That's all. Yes or no, was it being used? 25

A. I think I -- yes, I believe so.

- Q. And with the hundreds of thousands, maybe millions, of people in the XRP markets, was it being used as an investment?
  - A. I -- I don't know of -
    MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

    It might be helpful to ask him what

    "investment" means to him or to give him

    your definition of "investment." I -- I
  - Q. What does "investment" mean to you?

don't mean to interject.

- A. I mean, to me investment means, you know, people choose to invest in pork bellies, people choose to invest in oil, people choose to invest in currencies. So in that context do I think people are, quote, investing and speculating around digital assets including XRP? Yes.
- Q. So if I invest in pork bellies -- in other words, is it fair to draw a distinction, from your definition, investing in pork bellies is different than buying a pork belly to eat it?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So with your definition of "investment," did you understand when you were Ripple's CEO that people in the market were

```
377
      investing in XRP?
 1
                I -- I -- like I say, I don't know --
 2
      you're ask -- I don't know who those people are.
 3
      I mean, if you gave me -- you said earlier, like,
 4
      are people on Twitter? And I don't know if
 5
 6
      they're speculating. I don't know if they're
 7
      investing. I don't know what they're doing.
      And -- and maybe some are buying XRP to make
 8
      payments overseas or maybe they're -- I don't
 9
10
     know.
               Okay. Do you know if people were buying
11
12
      XRP for payment flows in April of 2017?
13
          A.
               I -- I don't know.
               Okay. Was Ripple selling XRP for
14
15
     payment flows in 2017?
                  MR. CERESNEY: Objection; form.
16
          A.
                I mean, I -- I think some of our
17
18
      employees were accepting compensation in XRP at
19
      that time. And so I suppose, by definition,
20
      that's payment flows. And I'm aware of those,
     but, you know, I don't know.
21
           Q. So when you say "payment flows," that
22
23
      includes paying someone, like, their compensation?
24
         A. I think that's a payment, so, yes.
25
         Q. Okay.
```

```
378
                      MR. TENREIRO: Let's do this 13
1
  2
             and then take a break. This will be a
             short one.
3
                     MR. SOLOMON: Yeah. It's been
5
             over an hour.
                      (Whereupon, exhibit is received
6
             and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
7
             Exhibit 13 for identification.)
 8
9
                     MR. TENREIRO: So this is a
             two-page email, RPLI SEC 763477.
 10
 11
                     (Pause)
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 12
            Q. Okay. Who's
 13
 14
                 I don't know.
            Q. Have you ever met him?
 15
            A.
                 I don't believe so.
 16
            Q. Okay. Having read this email, is it
 17
       fair -- what is this email about, generally, other
 18
       than the colorful language?
 19
            A. I think
 20
 21
                      MR. SOLOMON: Is there anything
             on this that's colorful language?
 22
 23
                      MR. TENREIRO: Well, he says,
             "Hey, how about making it less difficult
 24
 25
             to actually buy XRP you retards."
```

```
380
                 And he's telling you "Please, God, bring
        the rain soon. Been waiting four years on Ripple
   2
       to hit."
   3
                 Do you see that?
   4
            A. I did not see that one. Where is that
   5
   6
        one?
                 It's on number 3 of his bullets.
  7
           Q.
            A.
                 Yes, I see that.
  8
                 He's talking about XRP when he says
  9
            Q.
        "four years on Ripple to hit, " right?
10
11
            A.
                 I quess.
            Q. Well --
12
 13
                 I mean, as I said earlier, I think any
        email with the subject line "Fuck you" and that I
 14
 15
        signed off with "FURB" --
            Q. Meaning?
  16
 17
                 Fuck you right back.
 18
           Q. Like the song?
  19
            A. You know, that's funny you say that
 20
       because I don't know -- I don't know that
  21
        reference, but I think -- I think that's right,
  22
       yeah.
  23
            Q. All right. So you responded to the
  24
       email and you said "I've been personally buying
        XRP in January and February (and early in March).
  25
```

381 1 You are not alone in your expectations." What expectations are you talking about, 2 3 Mr. Garlinghouse? A. I -- I don't want to put too much 4 credibility in an email that starts with "Fuck 5 you" that is from an anonymous person that I'm 6 7 replying with a little bit, obviously, tongue-in-cheek. And so I don't know what my 8 assertions were. 9 10 Q. But, I mean, you have told me today you're very busy sometimes. You don't read every 11 12 email. You responded to this email. So there 13 must have been some significance to you to the 14 email and you responded by saying "You are not alone in your expectations." 15 What expectations are you talking about? 16 17 To -- I mean, to be fair, you're asking 18 about an email from four and a half years ago that is, again, the subject line "Fuck you" and you're 19 20 asking me what expectations I had. I have no idea. 21 22 Q. Okay. Did you in March of 2017 have 23 expectations that Ripple might hit? A. I -- I -- I have no idea. 24

Q. Were you in March of 2017 treating

whatever holdings of XRP you had as an investment?

MR. CERESNEY: Objection to form.

- A. I guess in my layperson's expectations, you know, I was holding XRP. Does that mean it's an investment? I -- you know, I can debate the meaning of that. But, you know, again, I don't know what my expectations are. I'm not -- I don't think putting a lot of weight in an email with the subject line "Fuck you" is something -- again, it's late at night. Who knows? Maybe it's a Wednesday night I'd had three drinks at dinner and I decided to speak kind of funny to engage this guy.
- Q. Did you in March of 2017 hope that the price of XRP that you held went up?
- A. Well, I think I've -- and I've said to the SEC from, I think, the first meeting I had with the SEC, I won't pretend not to be a capitalist. And if you own something, then you wanted the value of that to increase.
  - Q. Okay.

22 MR. TENREIRO: Let's go off the 23 record for a break.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, 4:54.

```
383
                      (Whereupon, a recess is taken.)
1
  2
                     THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Back on
        the record, 5:16.
3
4
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
5
            Q. Okay. Mr. Garlinghouse, I think earlier
       today you said -- and you just correct me -- that
6
                   owned XRP.
7
                 I think that was my testimony, yes.
 8
 9
            Q. Okay. Did you have an understanding as
       to whether he was investing in XRP?
 10
            A. No.
 11
 12
            Q. Did you discuss with him why he was
       buying XRP?
 13
 14
            A. He didn't buy XRP.
 15
            Q. Then how did he get it?
            A. Predating my arrival at Ripple, he
 16
       participated in one of the XRP giveaways is my
 17
 18
       understanding.
            Q. Did you discuss with him why he was
 19
 20
       holding it?
            A. I think he asked me -- and this is, I
       think, before I had started at Ripple. And he
 22
 23
       asked me what I thought he should do with it. And
 24
       I think I said "I would just hold on to it."
 25
            Q. Why? Why did you say that?
```

A. I'm not sure I knew what XRP really was before I started at Ripple. I'm not sure I had any perspective other than -- I didn't know how much XRP he got through giveaways.

- Q. Well, then, why did you tell him to hold it? I guess is the question.
- A. Okay. His options were what? To hold
  it or sell it? I don't know how many he had. I
  don't know what it was worth. I know enough about
  financial picture that it wasn't
  material one way or another.
- Q. You do know enough about his financial picture?
- A. I do know enough about -- enough about his financial picture that I would be surprised if whatever the giveaway he participated in would have been material.
- Q. Okay. So his option was to hold it or sell it at the time?
  - A. I think that's right. I can't think of anything else.
    - Q. Okay.
- 23 MR. TENREIRO: Let's look at
  24 Exhibit 18, please. I think I have it
  25 here.

```
385
                      Okay. Thanks. I have it, Mark.
1
  2
             I have it.
                      (Whereupon, exhibit is received
3
4
             and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
             Exhibit 18 for identification.)
5
6
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
7
            Q. And, you know, we -- as I pass you
       these, we've looked at 15 and 16 -- sorry.
8
       Maybe -- sorry. We looked at 16 and 17. I think
9
       those were April 2017 emails.
 10
 11
                 Exhibit 18 is May 1st, 2017, one page,
               RIPPLE -- actually, two pages -- 10934.
 12
       Please take a moment to look at it.
 13
 14
                (Pause)
            Q. Okay. Mr. Garlinghouse, do you see the
 15
       reference in this email in the fourth full
 16
       paragraph to how XRP was hovering around a nickel
 17
       and it was up over 800 percent from where it began
 18
 19
       the year? Do you see that?
 20
            A.
                 Yes.
 21
         Q. Then it says "Interest in XRP is
 22
       continuing to grow and we've only just begun our
 23
       focused efforts to build its liquidity."
 24
                 Do you see that?
                 Yes.
 25
            A.
```

Q. Then you say "To this end, we recently released our Q1 2017 Markets Report, an important way that we demonstrate our commitment to the XRP ecosystem and continually improve the health of XRP markets globally."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. Is that a true statement, that the

  Markets Report was a way for Ripple to demonstrate

  its commitment to the XRP ecosystem?
- A. I think, as I testified earlier today, that the Markets Report has been a way to improve transparency, understanding, and I think as we start every Markets Report, kind of a call to action for everybody in the industry to improve transparency. I think we have consistently indicated our desire to improve and increase the liquidity in the XRP market. That's good for Ripple's strategy as well as anyone who's participating in the XRP market.
- Q. But when you say demon -- is it true that the Markets Reports are a way for Ripple to demonstrate its commitment to the XRP ecosystem?

  Is that true?
- A. I -- I mean, I'm reading what is there.

387 I don't recall writing this. I -- I think, as --1 well, I don't know what I thought when I wrote --2 when this was written. 3 Q. Sitting here today, is one of the 4 5 purposes of the Market Report to demonstrate 6 Ripple's commitment to the XRP ecosystem? 7 Sitting here today, as I just testified, I would say the Markets Report is to drive 8 transparency of and clarity of information around 9 the XRP markets. As I sit here today, is it -- is 10 it a demonstration of a commitment? It is one 11 12 of -- one of many ways that we seek to make the 13 XRP markets robust, healthy, transparent. All of the above. 14 15 Q. And that is true today as well, up through today? 16 A. I think that's fair. 17 18 Q. Okay. Later you talk about "connecting 19 the dots" again. "The big takeaway with the 20 market is clearly connecting the dots that banks 21 which join the Ripple network today are 22 prospective users of XRP liquidity in the future." 23 Do you see that? 24 A. I do see that.

Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that in May,

```
388
        just like in April, your view was that the XRP
   1
        price rally in part could be derived from
   2
        participants in the market responding to efforts
   3
       that Ripple had made?
   4
                      MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.
   5
   6
             A. I -- I think -- as I testified earlier,
   7
        I think in parts of 2017 in my tenure at Ripple, I
  8
        believed that Ripple's activities may have an
        impact on the XRP market. I'm less convinced of
   9
10
        that as I sit here today.
11
             0.
                 Understood.
12
                  And is it fair to say that in 2017 one
 13
        of your specific goals at Ripple was to increase
        speculation in the market for XRP?
 14
 15
                       MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.
             Q. You can look --
  16
                 Are you taking that from this email --
  17
  18
                      (Indiscernible cross talk;
  19
             reporter requests one speaker.)
  20
            0.
                  You are welcome to look at the email.
  21
       My question is -- set aside the email -- is it
        true that in 2017, one of your goals was to
  22
  23
        increase speculation in the market for XRP?
  24
                       MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.
  25
             A. I would say sitting here today, my
```

recollection of 2017 priorities was to increase liquidity in the XRP markets and in whatever ways that could take. To the extent that was through speculation, I think that would drive liquidity. So I think that's yes.

- Q. All right. And just so that we're on the same page, when we talk about speculation with respect to the market for an asset, what -- what are you -- what do you mean when you say "speculation"?
- A. Well, I think people speculate on the price of something. And, you know, I think I used the example earlier today that the price of XRP might be, using this time period, four and a half cents on one exchange and five cents on another exchange and people are speculating and trading that arb and seek -- seeking a -- a return.
- Q. So what you just referred to as "arb," do you mean arbitrage?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And does speculating include speculating on price movements? For example, I could speculate that it might go down; I could speculate that it might go up?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

Casse 11 200 cov - 11008332-AATT-SSN | Document (8864-815 | Fileet) (096/1137/223 | Pragge 3891 of 15-67 390 1 I think speculators speculate. 2 Q. Speculate --3 A. So, yes. Some people speculate it's going to go up; some people speculate it's going 4 to go down. That's what makes the market. 5 6 Q. Right. 7 And so isn't it fair to say there's a distinction between speculating on the asset and 8 using the asset, such as in your pork belly 9 10 example? 11 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 12 A. I was making the point that people 13 speculate on the price of pork bellies. 14 Q. Right. 15 I think -- so can you repeat the question, please? 16 Q. When you -- when you -- this is not a 17 18 legal question or anything like that. This is a 19 question of you as a businessman. 20 When you say the word "speculating," is 21 there a distinction in your mind between someone who speculates on price movements or price 22

arbitrage and someone who acquires the asset to

use it? So to, like, eat the pork bellies in the

23

24

25

example you gave.

A. So at the beginning of that question you said this isn't a legal thing. It's hard for me -- I mean, this is a legal experience, right?

I'm testifying in a legal -- and I'm being asked to give things that will be used as legal. So I don't know exactly what to do with that first comment. I think it's important that we, as much as possible, have a shared understanding of some of these words.

MR. SOLOMON: Tell him you don't understand. Ask him to rephrase it. If you can't answer the question, then don't answer the question. You have to understand the question to answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

- A. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. Yeah. As a businessman, when you use the word "speculating," does that include -- if you say that someone is speculating on an asset, does that include someone who is using the asset?

MR. SOLOMON: You're asking him today, on September 20, 2021, when he uses the word "speculate," what does he mean by that?

392 1 MR. TENREIRO: Yes. MR. SOLOMON: Or in prior 2 3 emails or --MR. TENREIRO: Today. 4 I think if someone goes to the grocery 5 store and buys bacon, they're not speculating on 6 the price of pork bellies. 7 Q. Okay. And has your understanding of 8 speculating as a businessman changed over time? 9 A. I don't know that it's changed over 10 11 time. I think I have learned, frankly, as it 12 relates to the SEC's investigation and litigation, 13 that there are nuances in how some of these words are used which can be consequential. 14 Q. Consequential for whether something is 15 deemed to be a security? 16 MR. CERESNEY: Objection; form. 17 18 Consequential to legal interpretations. A. 19 When did you learn that? Q. 20 During the course of this, what started as, I think, an inquiry into an investigation, 21 22 into a litigation. 23 Q. Can you pinpoint more precisely in time 24 when you learned this? A. I -- I don't have any specific rec --25

```
393
   1
       recollection.
            Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibit 87,
   2
       please. This is just a one-page document.
   3
                      (Whereupon, exhibit is received
   4
             and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
   5
   6
           Exhibit 87 for identification.)
  7
                      MR. TENREIRO: Here we go.
                     (Pause)
  8
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
  9
10
           Q. Okay. What is this email?
           A. This is an email from Monica Long to me
11
12
      on March 26th to set an agenda for our one-on-one
13
       meeting.
            Q. How often did you have one-on-one
 14
       meetings with her?
 15
           A. Typically weekly.
  16
            Q. Okay. And she says "For discussion,"
 17
       and she has several bullets -- three bullets and
 18
       then some sub bullets.
  19
 20
                 Do you see that?
  21
            A. Yes.
 22
                 She says "XRP marketing - lessons thus
 23
       far from Ethereum - plus initial thoughts on a
       plan."
 24
  25
               Do you see that?
```

394 1 A. I do. What plan did you have with respect to 2 XRP marketing? 3 I don't recall. A. 4 To the extent Ripple engaged in XRP 5 marketing, what was the purpose of marketing XRP? 6 7 I don't recall. A. Q. Okay. What other participants in the 8 9 XRP ecosystem, sitting here today, do you know 10 that market XRP? 11 A. In 2021? Q. Yeah. 12 13 Well, I think there are companies in 2021 that use XRP and, by extension, market XRP, I 14 15 suppose. 16 Q. So I'm asking you what companies market XRP, like the term "marketing." 17 18 Is the term "marketing" here referring to sort of ad efforts or publicity experts? 19 20 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; calls for speculation. 21 22 Q. To the extent you were talking to 23 Ms. Long. 24 A. I don't know. Q. Okay. What companies, sitting here 25

395 1 today, engaged in efforts to publicit -- to make -- to create publicity for XRP? 2 3 A. I mean, I think, you know, during the course of, you know, the expansion of participants 4 in the XRP market, there's people doing, I mean, a 5 6 host of things. When I say they're marketing XRP, 7 I -- I don't know. There's certainly a number of companies that use and build on top of the XRP 8 Ledger. Are they, like, using the XRP Ledger and 9 10 deriving usage of the XRP Ledger? Are they marketing XRP? I don't know. 11 12 Q. I was asking for publicity efforts now, 13 but --14 A. Oh. Sorry. 15 Could you repeat the question then that 16 you are asking me to answer? O. Sure. 17 What companies, sitting here today, are 18 you aware of engaged in publicity efforts for XRP? 19 20 A. I don't know. 21 Q. What companies were engaged with 22 publicity efforts with respect to XRP in 2017 23 around the time of this email? 24 A. I don't know. Does Ripple have engineers in its 25 Q.

```
396
       employ? Computer scientists?
1
  2
          A. Yes.
                     MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.
3
4
            0.
                 How many?
5
                 How many engineers did we have in 2017
6
       or today?
7
            Q. Let's start with 2017.
            A. I would guess 60 to 70.
 8
9
                How many employees did Ripple have in
            Q.
       total around that time?
 10
            A. You know, 150 to 200.
 11
                 So about a third of the workforce was
 12
            0.
       the computer scientists?
 13
 14
           A. Usually it's a little higher percentage
       than that.
 15
            Q. What percentage is it today, roughly?
 16
            A. I hope it's over
 17
            Q. Why do you hope?
 18
                 I think, as a technology centric
 19
 20
       company, having a talented group of engineers is
 21
       foundational to success.
 22
            Q. How so?
 23
            A. If you're seeking to build technology
 24
       products, if you don't have people doing the
 25
       coding, you're not going to get very far.
```

Q. Is it fair to say that over the course of the time you've been at Ripple, Ripple engineers have worked on the code for the XRP Ledger?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

- A. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. Is it fair to say that over the course of the time you've been at Ripple, Ripple engineers have worked on the code for the XRP -- the XRP Ledger?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

A. Yes.

- Q. Have they improved it, made efforts to improve it, like the speed of it, for example?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And is their work with respect to the XRP Ledger important to Ripple?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

A. The efficiency of the XRP Ledger is important to Ripple. For the employees at Ripple who contribute open-source cord -- code to the XRP Ledger, those improvements are important. There's obviously people outside of Ripple who contribute open-source code to the XRP Ledger also and their contributions are important also.

398 Q. And what -- what percentage -- how many 1 validator nodes are on the Ledger? 2 A. I think it depends a little on how you 3 define a validator node because there's some 4 validators that people don't pay attention to. 5 6 But, typically, I have referenced that there's 7 about 150 validators on the XRP Ledger that are of consequence, let's say. 8 9 Q. Where did you get that information from? 10 A. Someone internal to Ripple. I'm not sure who. 11 12 Q. 150 now, in 2017, or at what point? 13 A. I -- I don't know. Q. Okay. When you reference 150 14 15 validators, how many of them were in China? A. I don't know. 16 17 Q. How many of them were in the United 18 States? I don't know. 19 A. 20 Q. Do you know where any of the validators' nodes are? 21 A. Yeah. 22 Q. 23 Which ones? Ripple's? 24 A. No. Q. Where are the ones you know? 25

- A. I know there is one at a data center in Tokyo. I know there's one at the University of Kansas. I know there's one -- I -- you know, my familiarity is they're distributed at various companies and data centers that have an interest in the XRP Ledger.
- Q. Is it fair to say -- I won't computer science quiz you, but fair to say that on the Ripple Ledger, a node pays attention to transactions confirmed by 80 percent --

11 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. A

12 node?

MR. TENREIRO: A node.

- Q. -- pays attention to transactions confirmed by 80 percent of the nodes on that node's trusted nodes list?
  - MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.
- A. I -- I think you asked the question -if I could read it back and -- refer me. But you
  referred to it as a "Ripple Ledger" and I don't
  know what that is.
  - Q. The XRP Ledger.
  - A. Would you repeat the question, then?
- Q. Is it fair to say that on the XRP
  Ledger, a node pays attention to transactions

400 confirmed by 80 percent of the nodes on that 1 node's trusted nodes list or UNL? 2 A. I think that's fair. 3 4 Q. Okay. So you said there were about 150 nodes that matter, quote/unquote? 5 6 I think that was my testimony, yes. 7 Q. Okay. Quickly doing some math, times 5, 750. Okay. 8 9 So if I -- what's to stop China from putting 600 nodes on the XRP Ledger such that it 10 would gain control over 80 percent of the now 11 12 resulting 750 nodes? 13 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. A. I mean, David Schwartz would be a better 14 15 person to ask some of these questions. But it depends upon which nodes the other nodes are 16 17 listening to. Q. Okay. So if China added 600 nodes to 18 19 the XRP Ledger, could it not gain control over the 20 XRP Ledger? A. If all -- if the other nodes listened to 21 22 those 600, then, hypothetically, yes. 23 Is there anything to stop those other 24 nodes from listening to the 600? A. Yes. 25

401 1 0. What is? What other nodes those nodes choose to 2 A. listen to. 3 Q. Well, I'm sorry. What stops one node 4 from deciding to listen to the new 600 nodes in my 5 6 hypothetical? 7 Whoever is managing that node and what A. other nodes they choose to listen to. 8 9 Q. So, in other words, people can talk to each other about what nodes they listen to? 10 11 A. I -- I -- I don't know how that happens. 12 Q. Okay. Other than the individual -- each 13 individual node selects a list of nodes they listen to, is that right? 14 15 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 16 A. I mean, my macro response is I'm not the best person to ask these questions of. 17 18 Q. Do -- sitting here today, do you have an 19 understanding as to whether or not China could 20 take control over the XRP Ledger? 21 I feel like I have an understanding that 22 I trust a number of very talented engineers, both 23 inside and outside the company, that would tell you that's not possible. 24

Q. Okay. When you were engaged -- when

25

```
402
   1
       Ripple was talking to potential Series C
       investors, was there a question about whether
   2
       there were nodes in the XRP Ledgers in Iran?
   3
           A. I don't recall.
   4
           Q. Are there XRP Ledger nodes in Iran?
   5
   6
           A. I don't know.
  7
           Q. Okay. Is there anything that could stop
       the Iranian government from putting nodes on the
  8
       XRP Ledger?
  9
10
           A. Not that I'm aware of.
            Q. Okay. You've talked publicly about how
11
12
       China could potentially control the bitcoin
13
       blockchain, right?
14
          A. Yes.
           Q. Okay. So what is that understanding
15
       based on?
 16
           A. Articles I read.
 17
 18
          Q. Where?
          A. On the internet.
  19
 20
           Q. Anywhere else? Well, articles in
       magazines or just the internet?
  21
  22
            A. I don't really read magazines anymore.
  23
      Just the internet.
  24
            Q. All right. Anything else other than
      articles?
  25
```

403 A. People I trust and respect who are well-versed in the technology of the bitcoin 2 blockchain and understand 51 percent attacks. 3 Q. Such as whom? 4 A. David Schwartz. 5 And has he told you about whether -- in 6 connection with these conversations, has he told 7 you about any risk that China could take over the 8 XRP blockchain? 9 10 A. No. Q. Have you discussed that with him either 11 12 way? 13 A. I don't recall. Well, when you were discussing sort of 14 15 the potential of China to take over the bitcoin blockchain, did you say to him, "Hey, could that 16 17 happen in the XRP blockchain"? MR. SOLOMON: Objection; asked 18 19 and answered. 20 Q. Ripple has an interest in the XRP blockchain, generally speaking. Is that fair? 21 22 THE REPORTER: Repeat. 23 Q. Ripple has an interest in the XRP 24 blockchain. Is that fair? 25 MR. CERESNEY: Objection; form.

A. Ripple has an interest in the XRP Ledger, yes.

Q. Okay. And to the extent that you discussed the China issue with respect to the bitcoin blockchain with Mr. Schwartz, did you not, as the CEO of Ripple, ask him Is that a risk that the XRP Ledger faces?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; asked and answered, form.

- A. The -- what commonly is referred to as a 51 percent attack is a output of being proof of work-based. The XRP Ledger doesn't use proof of work. There have been successful 51 percent attacks on proof of work-based blockchains like the Ethereum Classic blockchain. There have not been successful attacks, to my knowledge, on what we commonly refer to as the consensus mechanism to validate transactions which other blockchains use.
- Q. Well, have there been forks on that blockchain?

THE REPORTER: Have there been?

MR. TENREIRO: Forks, F-O-R-K.

MR. SOLOMON: I'm sorry, on which

blockchain?

MR. TENREIRO: XRP blockchain.

405 1 XRP Ledger. A. How do you define "fork"? 2 Q. However you define -- do you understand 3 a fork on a distributed ledger? 4 A. You asked me a question and I want to 5 make sure I answer it and you used the word 6 7 "fork." I'm just asking how you're using the word "fork" to make sure I get it right. 8 Q. Let's start again. 9 10 Do you understand if someone speaks of a fork on a distributed ledger what that means? 11 12 A. I believe I do, yes. 13 Q. What does it mean to you? 14 A. As an example, the bitcoin blockchain has forked a number of times. And so that it 15 takes all previous transactions and then there's a 16 new fork that carries those transactions forward. 17 18 Has that occurred on the XRP Ledger? Q. A. Not to my knowledge. 19 20 Okay. Back to the email, is it fair to Q. 21 say that one of the Q2 priorities for 2017 was 22 drive XRP speculation? 23 I see in Monica Long's agenda that she 24 wants to talk about her future priorities and she has listed a sub bullet called "drive XRP 25

406 speculation." 1 Q. And did you discuss it with her? 2 A. I don't know. 3 Did you tell her -- did she implement 4 this agenda? 5 6 A. I don't know. 7 Q. All right. MR. SOLOMON: Do you want to take 8 9 a 30-second break? Short break. Just 30 seconds off the record. 10 11 MR. TENREIRO: Sure. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Going 13 off the record at 5:42. 14 (Pause) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Back on 15 the record, 5:53. 16 BY MR. TENREIRO: 17 Q. Mr. Garlinghouse, did there come a time 18 19 when you asked some of your PR people to help you 20 identify likes on your tweets that you went back 21 and removed? 22 A. I believe, yes. 23 Q. And why did you do that? 24 Α. When I got hacked in 2017, a number of other people got hacked at the same time that were 25

407 1 connected to me in one way or another. And it was my hypothesis, belief, and I believe to be the 2 3 case, that the hackers went after people who were associated with me, particularly as they had 4 seemed to discover via Twitter. 5 6 Q. So your testimony is that the unliking 7 of likes was because of the hack? My recollection is that I went through 8 A. the trouble of deleting all Twitter posts that I 9 10 had posted prior to joining Ripple and, you know, cleaned up my Twitter account to reduce -- to 11 12 remove any personal information or just things 13 that a bad actor might be able to take advantage 14 of. Separate from that, did you go back to 15 unlike likes that you had made on Twitter? 16 A. Not that I recall. 17 All right. Let's take a look at Exhibit 18 19 36. No, 35. Sorry. 20 (Whereupon, exhibit is received 21 and marked Garlinghouse Deposition Exhibit 35 for identification.) 22 23 BY MR. TENREIRO: 24 Q. And this appears to be a series of texts, GARL Civil 982. It's mostly images, but 25

408 take a look. 1 2 (Pause) Q. All right. Do you see your phone number 3 4 in this exhibit? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Fair to say it appears to be a 7 series of texts between you and 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And the date on the first -- on the first page says "Saturday, 13 January 2018." 10 11 Do you see that? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. Here you say "Can your team go through these and LMK if I've 'liked' anything 14 that is XRP price related?" 15 And then, in the following message, you 16 link her to your likes, is that correct? 17 A. I believe that is correct. 18 "LMK" means let me know, right? 19 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Okay. Why did you ask her to go look to see if you had liked anything XRP price related? 22 23 A. I don't know. 24 Q. Okay. And then the next series of pages are several tweets that you had liked. And you 25

```
409
   1
        say on the page that has 990 at the bottom,
        "Okay - have cleaned up the 'likes.'
   2
   3
              Do you see that?
            A. I -- I see the text you're seeking --
   4
        "Okay, I cleaned up the likes." I don't actually
   5
  6
       have the -- from what is here, I'm interpreting
  7
       that these are tweets that I may have liked.
       That's not obvious to me from what is in front of
 8
       me. And since I don't recall, I don't know that
  9
10
       to be the case.
            Q. Well, we can take a look at them.
11
12
                    MR. TENREIRO: Let's look at 36,
13
            for example.
14
           A. Okay.
                      (Whereupon, exhibit is received
15
             and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
 16
           Exhibit 36 for identification.)
 17
 18
                   MS. BUNTING: What exhibit is
            this?
  19
 20
                     MR. TENREIRO: 36.
  21
                      MS. BUNTING: 36. Thank you.
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
  22
  23
          Q. This appears to be a tweet that you made
  24
      on October 17th about Ben Bernanke.
                 And then a response that says "Shitting
  25
```

410 1 on Bitcoin will not increase the price of XRP. Don't forget that most of us, investing in both 2 XRP and BTC." 3 Do you see that? 4 5 A. Yes. Q. Okay. So have you liked the "Shitting 6 7 on bitcoin" tweets at some point and then removed the like? 8 A. I don't know. 9 10 Q. Okay. Why were you removing likes from anything XRP price related? 11 12 A. I don't know. 13 Q. Was there a -- I think we just saw some emails earlier today to the board and to investors 14 where you were talking about the increase in the 15 16 price of XRP. Is that fair? If you need to go back to them, go ahead. 17 A. Can you repeat the question? 18 Q. In -- we just saw today emails from 19 20 2017 -- I think we saw April; I think we saw 21 May -- where you were discussing the increases in the price of XRP, XRP rally, et cetera, is that 22 23 correct? 24 Α. Yes. You were discussing the price of XRP in 25 Q.

411 those communications, correct? 1 2. A. Yes. Q. Including to holders of XRP, is that 3 4 correct? 5 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 6 A. I don't know -- I mean, when you say 7 "holders of XRP," I mean, you earlier pointed out that there are -- people on the board received 8 9 those communications. And some of those people on the board held XRP. So, I guess, yes. 10 Q. And some of Ripple's shareholders also 11 held XRP, correct? 12 13 A. I don't know. Q. You -- have you ever come to know 14 15 whether XRP -- whether Ripple's shareholders were also holders of XRP? 16 A. I mean, of the 200 shareholders, do some 17 of them hold XRP? I think that's probably yes. I 18 don't -- I mean, I can think of -- well, Chris 19 20 Larsen would be an example of yes. 21 Q. still holds XRP. A. I don't know if 22 23 Q. Back in 2017? A. I don't know when they liquidated their 24 25 XRP.

```
412
            Q.
1
  2
            A. I -- I -- I don't personally know what
                 does with his XRP. So I don't know
  3
4
       what he held when.
5
            Q.
6
       (pronunciation)?
7
        A. He would not have been on those
       distributions, I don't think. He's not a
 8
9
       shareholder.
            Q. He was not -- he was not an advisor
 10
 11
       either?
            A. I -- I don't know what -- I mean, I
 12
       don't -- we don't call him an advisor. I don't
 13
       know if he's on the email distribution or not.
 14
 15
            Q.
                     MR. SOLOMON: Are you asking him
 16
             whether these people who were equity
 17
             shareholders also held XRP units --
18
                     MR. TENREIRO: Yes.
 19
                     MR. SOLOMON: -- to his
 20
             knowledge? Okay.
 22
                     I just want to make sure you
 23
             understand.
                     MR. TENREIRO: Yeah.
 24
 25
                     MR. SOLOMON: You were firing
```

```
413
             names at him.
1
  2
             I just want to make sure you understand
       the premise of the question.
3
4
            A. I don't know if
                                              nolds XRP
5
       or --
6
            Q. As -- I'm sorry.
7
            A. You know, either in his fund or
       personally. I -- I -- I don't know.
8
9
            Q.
                          does -- I do know that
 10
                        the joint venture, holds some
 11
       XRP.
 12
                               is copied on the emails
 13
            Q. Okay. And
       to Ripple's shareholders and advisors, correct?
 14
            A. Yes. The point I'm trying to make is
 15
       you're asking me -- I mean, is there a -- you've
 16
       highlighted of the couple hundred people that are
 17
       on the shareholders distribution, there's some
 18
       that I can definitively know have XRP. The vast
 19
 20
       majority of them, I have no idea. I don't ask;
 21
       they don't share. It's -- it's not -- it's like
       asking them how much cash they have in the bank.
 22
 23
           Q. But you definitively knew that some of
 24
       them, in fact, held XRP?
 25
            A. Yes.
```

A. That included XRP.

Q. And throughout the year 2017, you were speaking about the increases in the price of XRP, both publicly and also to Ripple's shareholders and advisors?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

- A. I don't recall if it was throughout the year. You've shown me examples from April and May, which are April and May.
  - Q. All right. We'll get to December.

But what prompted you, after speaking about the price of XRP at some points in 2017, to then, in January of 2018, go back to delete likes of tweets you made XRP price related?

15 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; asked
16 and answered.

- A. I -- I -- yeah, I don't recall. I don't know. And -- and I don't know that I did like this.
  - Q. Well --
- A. You have told me I liked this. I'm not aware that I liked this.
- Q. Well, I can't tell. You -- somebody

  deleted the like -- you deleted some likes,

  correct?

416 MR. SOLOMON: Objection. Don't 1 tell him what he's done. Ask him what he 2 3 remembers doing. 4 Q. You deleted some likes, correct? You said in this text "Have cleaned up the likes." 5 I said that I cleaned up the likes, yes. 6 7 I don't know if that means that I had liked this and unliked it or not. I can't tell from this. 8 Q. When you say "cleaned up the likes," 9 what did you mean? Does that mean unlike? 10 I -- I --11 A. 12 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 13 A. I didn't recall the text, and so I certainly don't recall what I thought in 2017 when 14 15 I sent those texts. Q. On the next page of the texts, if you 16 can go back to that exhibit, which is 35, towards 17 18 the -- towards the end, the second-to-last page. On the second-to-last page, you say "Can 19 20 your teams review David Schwartz tweets and likes 21 for particularly noteworthy"? Do you see that? 22 23 A. I do see that. Q. She asked you "When does this need to 24 get done?" 25

```
418
                 I -- I don't know. I don't remember
   1
       these texts and I don't remember what the impetus
   2
       was and I don't remember what I was thinking when
   3
        I sent that.
   4
                      MR. TENREIRO: Okay. So let's
   5
             go -- are we ready or not yet?
   6
   7
                      MR. BAMBERGER: Yeah, just going
             to bring them out.
  8
                    MR. TENREIRO: Great. Let's go
  9
10
           to Exhibit 78 while we wait.
 11
                     (Whereupon, exhibit is received
12
           and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
            Exhibit 78 for identification.)
 13
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 14
            O. Now we're in June.
 15
                 (Pause)
 16
            Q. And just while you're reading, I'm going
 17
 18
       to state on the record 78 is a three-page email
 19
       thread, RPLI SEC 54397. And it appears to be
 20
       around June 5th, 2017.
 21
                 (Pause)
                 All right. Starting with the email,
 22
 23
       this appears to be another update, is that fair to
 24
       say, the email that starts in the middle -- or
  25
       towards the top of page 2, rather, another
```

419 1 investor and advisor update that you were sending? A. Yes. 2 Q. All right. And in this one, you also 3 talk about the increase in XRP's price so far in 4 2017. You refer to a 5,000 percent increase, is 5 6 that correct? 7 Yeah, I don't -- I didn't know if I A. catched the 5,000 percent reference. 8 Q. Yes. 9 10 A. Yes, I see that now. Yes. Okay. Further up you say "Despite a 11 0. 12 proven track record of being good stewards of XRP, 13 we have continued to hear concerns in the market that Ripple could (hypothetically) sell our 61 14 15 billion XRP at any time - a scenario that would certainly be bad for Ripple." 16 17 Do you see that? 18 A. Uh-huh. Q. And then you talk about the escrow, 19 20 right? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. The proven track record, what -- what is 23 that track record? What proved the track record 24 in your mind then? A. I guess, simplistically, the fact that 25

we had never dumped XRP on the market, as some said it was hypothetically at risk, some continued to feel that because that risk existed, that the fact that we have proven that over a period of time we had not done things like that and, in fact, tried to do the opposite, there were still those who felt that risk existed.

- Q. Is there anything else that proves this track record up to this point in time of being good stewards of XRP other than the absence of the dumping?
- A. I guess there's probably a myriad of examples of how we have tried to be good stewards.

  I think the mere fact that we started publishing a quarterly XRP market update to try to find transparency would be an example of trying to be a good steward.
- Q. What about selling XRP programmatically in ways to not affect the market for XRP? Is that a good -- an example of being a good steward?

  MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.
- A. I think to the extent we have consistently demonstrated a track record, we're trying to make sure we don't impact the XRP markets, would be evidence of being a good

421 1 steward. Q. But that includes not impacting it with 2 respect to your sales -- or with your sales? 3 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 4 Right? 5 Q. That's what I just said. 6 Α. 7 Okay. Sorry if I misunderstood. Q. Was one of the reasons for the escrow to 8 remove that concern or hypothetical that some 9 10 people threw out there? MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 11 12 A. And I think what I wrote at this time 13 was "We have given investors a predictable supply 14 schedule and removed what skeptics" -- sorry. "So with the decision to lock up 55 billion XRP in 15 16 escrow, we have given investors a predictable 17 supply schedule and removed what skeptics have 18 suggested has been a barrier to broad XRP 19 adoption." 20 Q. Right. And -- and the email -- and 21 absolutely you can look at or refer to. But, just generally, was one of the 22 23 reasons for the escrow to remove that sort of 24 concern that people in the market had expressed to 25 Ripple about potential dumping?

- A. I think that's what the email says.
- Q. And was one of the purposes of the escrow to encourage speculation in XRP?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

MR. CERESNEY: Objection.

- A. I think the -- my recollection, as consistent with what the email says, is to remove the concern that had been expressed that there was a risk that we would dump XRP in the market.
- Q. And was one of the purposes of removing that concern to encourage speculation in XRP?

  Again, you can look at the email or not. I'm just asking you for your memory of what was the purpose behind the escrow.
- A. My recollection of the purpose of the escrow is consistent with what is said here.
- Q. All right. Further down you say "The bottom line is that we are committed to making XRP the best digital asset for payments."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes, I do see that.
- Q. How was Ripple planning on making XRP
  the best digital asset for payments in -- when you
  wrote this email in June of 2017?
  - A. My rec -- my recollection in June of

- 2017 is that we were continuing to execute a strategy to make XRP very, very liquid and very, very fast, very, very low energy, such that it could be used both with Ripple's products and people outside of Ripple to take advantage of XRP as a very efficient payment mechanism.
- Q. And the very, very fast and very, very low energy, are those the efforts that the engineers or computer scientists were engaged in?

  MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.
- A. Ripple's engineers were engaged in a whole bunch of things. XRP, as an open-source technology, can be contributed to by Ripple's engineers and as well as non-Ripple engineers.

## Q. Right.

My question, though, was you said -- you said "My recollection in June of 2017 is that we were continuing to execute a strategy to make XRP very, very liquid and very, very fast, very, very low energy."

And my question is: Who at Ripple was continuing to execute a strategy to make XRP very, very fast and very, very low energy in June 2017?

A. I guess to be fair, at that point XRP already was very, very fast and very, very low

424 1 energy. Q. So you were not at that point committed 2 to continuing those efforts? 3 4 A. No. I would guess if we looked back at 5 the, you know, scalability of the XRP Ledger, 6 there continued to be improvements in the four-plus years since this email was written. 7 Q. And were Ripple engineers participating 8 9 in making those improvements? A. I don't know. 10 Q. Okay. Is it true today that Ripple is 11 12 committed to making XRP the best digital asset for 13 payments? A. I mean, I would say today, as we have 14 15 obviously spoken about here and elsewhere, you know, we want to see XRP be very, very liquid, 16 have a lot of utility, be very trusted. One 17 18 manifestation of that is its use case around 19 payments and Ripple's use case largely around 20 institutional liquidity. 21 Q. Okay. The -- on the second page of the email, someone named do you know who 22 23 that is? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Who is he?

very little understanding of technology or certainly the crypto market.

Q. Explaining what you believed to be the factors that went into the increase in price of XRP?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

A. I think I'm as simplistically as possible trying to, as I think he asked, "conduct a seminar on the dynamics of cryptocurrency markets and what drives the staggering appreciation and/or volatility."

As I've testified earlier today, I think that's a very, very, very hard thing to do, in understanding what drives the volatility and activity in the crypto markets even today, for example.

- Q. In June of 2017, you had the view that to the extent Ripple does well in announcing customers, that could drive market interest in buying XRP as a speculative investment, correct?
- A. You know, as I've testified earlier today, I think there were times in 2017 that I had the miscon -- the belief that -- and maybe optimism perhaps -- that Ripple's activities had in some ways touched the XRP markets.

Q. And in 2017 you also believed that announcements about new exchanges listing XRP also created tailwinds for the XRP market, right?

- A. Yes. The more exchanges that list XRP, the more liquidity there is in the XRP market, the more trading pairs there are in the XRP market.

  And I think it's fair to call that tailwinds.
- Q. Well, here you're not talking about the exchanges listed. You're talking about announcements about exchanges listed.

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

- Q. So my question is, is it fair to say that in 2017 you believed that announcements about exchanges listing XRP created tailwinds?
- A. I think you're making a distinction that I'm not making. Announcing a new exchange listing and implementing a new exchange listing I kind of view as one and the same.
- Q. Okay. And tailwinds means good for the market generally? Or what does tailwinds mean?

  It's your -- it's your email.

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

A. When I use the word "tailwinds," I'm usually suggesting that macro trends are positive and constructive. And so to the extent more

428 1 exchanges are listing XRP, it's creating more liquidity in the XRP market, and I view that as 2 net positive for all participants in the XRP 3 market. 4 Q. And, again, liquidity means, in your 5 mind, volume? 6 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; asked 7 and answered. 8 A. One critical aspect of liquidity I would 9 10 say is volume. Q. And before I move on, if you can go back 11 12 to your original email, when you talked about the 13 over 5,000 percent increase in the price of XRP, 14 you say "Ripple is worth more than all but four U.S. start-ups." 15 Do you see that? 16 A. Yes. 17 How were you valuing Ripple? Ripple's 18 shares did not have, for example, like an actively 19 20 traded market at this time, right? MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 21 That's correct. 22 A. 23 How were you valuing Ripple when you 24 made this statement? It looks like I'm referencing a CNBC 25 A.

```
429
       article. And so I'm looking at how CNBC may have
1
  2
       valued Ripple.
         Q. In -- in fact, you say, "In fact,
3
4
       factoring in the 18 billion of XRP we own, Ripple
5
       is worth more than all but four U.S. start-ups,"
6
       right?
                 That is what the email says and then it
7
            A.
       links to an article that apparently I'm
 8
9
       referencing that I assume references the value of
 10
       Ripple.
 11
            Q. What were Ripple's revenues from
       software sales in June of 2017?
 12
            A. I don't recall.
 13
 14
            Q. Magnitude? Order of magnitude?
                In the
 15
            A.
                        millions.
 16
            Q. Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 32.
 17
                      (Whereupon, exhibit is received
 18
            and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
 19
 20
            Exhibit 32 for identification.)
 21
                     MR. TENREIRO: Here you go.
 22
                     (Pause)
 23
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
            Q. All right. In this email, the subject
 24
 25
       is "Memes we should push."
```

430 Do you see that? 1 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Memes we should push where? 4 A. I don't know. Q. In public? 5 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; asked 6 7 and answered. A. I don't know. 8 You say "There are two memes I think we 9 10 want to push aggressively (well, we really want to 11 push the first one - maybe/maybe not the second 12 one)." The first one is XRP is -- now I'm not 13 reading. I'm -- "XRP is the best performing 14 (digital) asset in 2017. We are up more than 15 10,000 percent for the year." Do you see that? 16 17 A. Yes. 18 Why were you instructing the Hatch Agency to aggressively push the meme that XRP was 19 20 up more than 10,000 percent for the year? 21 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 22 I think to the extent I'm educating the 23 market about the advantages and differences 24 between XRP and the performance of XRP relative to other digital assets, that is constructive in 25

getting more people doing things around the XRP ecosystem which drives liquidity of the whole ecosystem.

Q. So you were -- you wanted the to aggressively push the concept of XRP's rise in price in order to bring liquidity into the ecosystem, is that correct?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection.

A. I think I'm -- I mean, it's a statement of fact, I think -- I don't remember exactly -- that XRP, regardless of the reasons, outperformed.

And I think highlighting the unique, as I say -- "and makes XRP unique" -- I think uniquely -- "position to capitalize on the many opportunities for digital assets."

I think the more people who are building on top of, contributing to, and driving liquidity in XRP markets, that's good for Ripple. That's good for all the participants in the XRP market.

- Q. And liquidity in your answer means, in part at least, more volume of XRP trading?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And then above you say, you know, you can live stream and tweet storm some of this information.

432 1 Do you see that? I do see that. 2 3 Q. Did you engage in a tweet storm about this topic? 4 I -- this is over about four years ago. 5 6 I don't know the answer to that. 7 Above you say "At today's XRP prices, Q. Ripple is more valuable than every other private 8 company in Silicon Valley except for Uber." 9 10 Do you see that? 11 A. I do see that. 12 Q. How did you value Ripple in order to 13 make that statement? A. I presume I'm using the same -- what was 14 15 the last article you sent me? The CNBC framework. That they're looking at Ripple's holdings of XRP. 16 Q. To value Ripple? 17 18 I -- I don't remember this email, and so 19 I'm presuming -- I don't know what the date 20 comparisons are. It's actually quite different 21 dates. So I -- I presume some have looked at Ripple and said Ripple owns a lot of XRP, as you 22 23 saw in the CNBC article, and so I'm probably using 24 that same logic. 25 Q. Yeah.

```
433
                And that CNBC article -- by the way, did
   1
       you reach out to CNBC to try to correct any
   2
       confusion about, you know, linking Ripple to XRP
   3
       or did you instruct anyone else to do that?
   4
           A. I don't recall.
   5
           Q. Okay.
   6
  7
                     MR. TENREIRO: Let's take a break
  8
             SO --
                    MR. SOLOMON: Sure.
  9
10
                  MR. TENREIRO: Let's go off the
            record.
11
12
                     THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Going
13
            off the record at 6:28.
                      (Whereupon, a recess is taken.)
14
15
                     THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Back on
             the record, 6:41.
 16
 17
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
18
         Q. Okay. Do you have -- sorry, which one
       do you have in front of you?
 19
 20
           A. Exhibit 32.
 21
            Q. Okay. This is the one where you're
 22
       talking about the memes about pushing aggressively
 23
       the performance of XRP, correct? This is in
 24
      December of 2017?
                   MR. SOLOMON: Is that a question?
  25
```

```
434
   1
                    MR. TENREIRO: Yes.
                 Is this -- is this where you were
   2
        discussing aggressively pushing XRP's performance
   3
        in 2017?
   4
            A. I -- I think -- your characterization,
   5
   6
        yes.
   7
                 All right. Here's Exhibit 33.
            Q.
                      (Whereupon, exhibit is received
  8
           and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
  9
           Exhibit 33 for identification.)
10
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 11
12
          Q. While you take a look, I'll say that --
 13
       please read it. There's an attachment that I
 14
        included for completeness. I'm going to ask you
        about the email, but you can read the whole thing
 15
        if you need to. The email is RPLI SEC 866480.
  16
 17
                 (Pause)
           Q. Mr. Garlinghouse, in 2000 -- around
 18
  19
        December 15, 2017, did you have a meeting with
 20
       Ripple's board where you discussed their offer to
  21
        help Ripple spread the word? Bottom of the email.
  22
                      MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.
  23
            A. I -- as described, I have no
 24
        recollection of that, but I believe that's the
  25
        case.
```

Q. Okay. And the purpose -- is it fair to say that the purpose of sending them the attached FAQ was to arm them with Ripple's Key Messages?

- A. I'm assuming that someone asked for that information at the board meeting, and so we were following up on a request from the board.
  - Q. And spread the word to whom?
- A. That -- their family, their respective -- I don't know. I mean, there's a lot of people interested, particularly, frankly, in December of 2017, there was a lot of people interested in crypto. And there's, you know, more interest in knowledge. There's more interest in facts. And so to the extent we can help our board members particularly be -- have more expertise, more knowledge, that's probably useful.
- Q. Did you restrict the board members -- did you restrict to whom they might spread the word to in any way?
  - A. I don't recall.
  - Q. Is it possible that you did?
- A. I don't know how I'd do that.
- Q. Well, did you ask any of the board members, you know, here's our Key Messages, but, you know, don't discuss those with X, Y, Z types

of people? Did you say anything like that?

A. I -- I don't recall.

- Q. Okay. And here in the email, you discuss, again, "The price of XRP is up 200 percent since Monday." You note that as well? You note that, correct?
- A. Yes. I also note that crypto will continue to be volatile, unpredictable, and even at times irrational.
- Q. And, in fact, you stated in your talking points that you took a longer term of the view of the market for XRP?
- A. Yeah. I think I've said publicly that I try not to pay attention to the gyrations of the market. I think, you know, "We're not here to pump XRP. We can't know exactly what will happen to the price of XRP in the coming days or weeks."

THE REPORTER: Slow down.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

- A. "We can't know exactly what will happen to the price of XRP in the coming days or weeks."
- Q. And you stated publicly on a number of occasions that you didn't look at the XRP price over the course of the next three days or weeks but, rather, three to five years, correct?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

- A. Yes. I mean, to be clear, I viewed that as kind of just -- I'm trying to take a long-term view of crypto overall, of XRP. And, you know, my counsel, which I think is, you know, repeated is -- for employees and otherwise, is to not let the craziness of, excuse me, the crypto markets distract us.
- Q. And you've also stated publicly on occasion that you were long XRP, correct?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. In fact, I think you stated very, very long at some points? There was --
- A. I don't know how many verys -- I don't know how many verys. I -- I said publicly that I am long XRP.
- Q. You stated that you know Rip -- at Ripple you're capitalists with respect to commenting on XRP?
  - MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.
  - A. Could you ask that question again?
- Q. With respect to discussing XRP in public, you've stated, you know -- in sum and substance; I'm not quoting you exactly -- Ripple, we're capitalists, you know. We want -- we are

438 1 interested in XRP. I think I probably said we're interested 2 in long-term success of the XRP markets. 3 Q. Okay. And how do you measure the 4 long-term success of the XRP markets? 5 6 Liquidity, value, utility, trust. 7 Q. Trust from whom? A. Anyone who's interested in -- in crypto 8 or in the movement of financial value. 9 10 Governments. Q. In the email, you say, "1, XRP's rise 11 12 over the course of this year signals market 13 expectations of our company." Do you see that? 14 I do see that. 15 A. Q. What expectations was the rise 16 signaling? 17 A. I don't know. 18 19 Expectations that the company -- was it 20 expectations that the company would do something? A. I don't know. 21 22 O. Okay. 23 A. It looks to me a little bit like I'm 24 using it as a rallying cry. "All eyes are on us and it's more important now than ever that we 25

execute." There was a lot of attention around the crypto markets. There was a lot of attention around Ripple. It's important that we didn't get distracted by the volatile, unpredictable and, at times, irrational markets and instead built products that benefited our customers.

- Q. So when you say "execute," execute on what?
- A. Execute on our product strategy, execute on our sales efforts, execute on our development.
- Q. Sometimes you described it as solving a trillion-dollar problem, is that right?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

A. I think I have described that the way
liq -- the way financial institutions move
liquidity today results in trapped capital. The
system, typically referred to as Nostra/Vostro
accounts --

THE REPORTER: I need that again.

THE WITNESS: No --

N-O-S-T-R-O-/-V-O-S-T-R-O.

- A. And according to studies I have read, including by McKinsey, that amount sitting out there is measured in the trillions.
  - Q. And the general media training FAQ,

what was the purpose of that document?

- A. To be a general media training document.
- Q. Was the purpose of that document to provide talking points for when Ripple employees spoke with the media?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

- A. Well, typically we didn't -- I mean, I would say the short answer is no. I mean, we didn't empower all of our employees to talk to the media.
- Q. Well, to the extent that some did, to the extent -- was the purpose -- was one of the purposes of this document to provide talking points for those Ripple employees who did speak to the media for when they did so?
- A. My experience in how our Comms teams worked is they would have had something that wasn't general media, but specific to a specific outlet or to a specific event, a specific panel. So the general media training, you know, I think is here's some information about the company that might be useful. And in this case -- again, I think it was catalyzed coming out of the board meeting -- I'm presuming that someone on the board had questions about what was going on and how they

441 1 should be talking about the company. Talking publicly about the company? 2 3 A. That's your characterization, not mine. I'm asking you. Was it talking 4 0. publicly? 5 6 Α. I don't know. 7 Okay. Stepping away from the board Q. members, again, the general media training FAQ 8 document, was the purp -- was one of the purposes 9 10 of this document to provide talking points for public speaking about Ripple to the extent a 11 12 person engaged in such public speaking? 13 A. I don't think it would have been this document, but a more targeted, specific document, 14 15 depending upon the audience, the panel, event, what have you. 16 Q. So this was -- was this maybe, then, 17 like sort of a base document and then from them --18 19 from there you could create a more specific one 20 depending on the audience, event, or panel? 21 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 22 Yeah, I think that's a fair A. 23 characterization. 24 Q. Okay. And having just reviewed the FAQ, is it fair that on or around 2017, December of 25

442 1 2017, these are ideas that Ripple employees might have stated publicly? 2 A. I don't think this was -- I mean, as 3 I've testified earlier, I don't think this was 4 distributed to all of our employees. 5 6 Q. Right. 7 So my question is, to the extent employees of Ripple spoke publicly, do you have a 8 recollection on or around December 2017 hearing 9 some of these things said publicly? 10 MR. SOLOMON: Objection. 11 12 A. I don't recall. 13 Q. For example, you know, on page 2, you 14 say "We've been strong stewards of XRP and our interests are very much aligned." 15 A. Can you point to me where that is? 16 17 Q. Yeah. The second page of the FAQ 18 towards the bottom. There's -- almost at the end. 19 MR. SOLOMON: What is the 20 question, please? 21 Q. Is it fair to say that on or around 22 December of 2017, you or other Ripple employees 23 might have stated publicly something similar to 24 what's here, which is "We've been strong stewards of XRP and our interests are very much aligned"? 25

443 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; calls 1 for speculation. 2 I don't know. I -- I, to my knowledge, 3 haven't seen this. I don't recall seeing this 4 document, so I don't know. 5 Q. Okay. What about a little further up 6 7 where it says "Important to note that we take a very long view of the market and don't get caught 8 up in short-term price gyrations"? Is that a 9 10 concept that you or other Ripple employees spoke of publicly on or around December of 2017? 11 12 A. I -- I don't know. 13 Q. Okay. On the last part, it says "The SEC's guidance is no surprise." 14 15 Are you referring -- what are you referring to there? What guidance? 16 17 A. The header there says "What's your stance on ICOs?" So I think I'm reacting to the 18 ICO boom of 2017. 19 20 Q. But what SEC guidance are you referring 21 to? 22 I don't know. A. 23 You say "It's consistent with how the 24 law has worked for 70 years." Do you see that? 25

```
444
   1
            A.
                 Yes.
                 Okay. And you had not hired
   2
            Q.
        Mr. Ceresney at that point, correct?
   3
            Α.
                 No.
   4
                 Okay. So where -- where did you get
   5
            Q.
        this from, that the SEC's guidance "was consistent
   6
   7
        with how the law has worked for 70 years"?
            A. I don't know.
  8
           Q. How referring to the Howey test?
  9
            Α.
                 I don't know.
10
            0.
                 And -- okay.
 11
12
                 So how -- is there some document that
 13
       might refresh your recollection as to what the
 14
       basis was for your statement that the SEC's
 15
       guidance was no surprise and was, in fact,
        consistent with how the law has worked for 70
  16
 17
       years?
 18
                      MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.
  19
                 I don't have any recollection.
 20
            Q. Okay. Had -- Ripple had quarterly
        all-hands meetings, is that right?
  21
                      MR. SOLOMON: Can I just ask one
  22
  23
            clarifying question?
  24
                    MR. TENREIRO: Yes.
  25
                      MR. SOLOMON: Did -- did you --
```

```
445
             did you write these general media FAQs?
   1
                      THE WITNESS: No.
   2
                      MR. SOLOMON: Do you know who
   3
             did?
   4
   5
                      THE WITNESS: No.
   6
                    MR. SOLOMON: Okay.
   7
        BY MR. TENREIRO:
                 Did you review them before you sent them
  8
        to the board --
   9
10
           A. I --
             Q. -- of the company?
 11
                 I don't think so.
12
            A.
 13
            Q. You don't -- you don't think you
        reviewed them before you sent them to the board?
 14
            A.
                 I don't recall, but I don't think so.
15
             Q. Okay. Did you ever review them?
  16
                 I would have reviewed a more specific
  17
       media briefing document to the extent I was
  18
  19
        speaking publicly in or around that time that
  20
        would have been, frankly, customized for that
  21
        event.
  22
            Q. Do you recall writing to Ripple's
  23
        investors that the SEC had showed leadership in
  24
        regulation releasing guidance that it viewed
        initial coin offerings as securities?
  25
```

- A. I -- I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. You had -- Ripple had what you called all-hands meetings from time to time?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And what was the purpose generally of those meetings?
- A. As the company grew, I think it became more and more important to share with the company kind of what's going on with the company, where we're headed, get people excited about the future of the company.
- Q. And what was the frequency of these meetings?
- A. Depends on what time period we're talking about.
  - Q. Let's say when you became CEO in 2017.
- A. I don't know when exactly we transitioned. We used to do them more frequently and then, as time went by, because of a certain amount of work involved with -- I think we actually did them almost weekly when I first joined the company and maybe became CEO. As time went by and the company grew, we transitioned to doing all-hands by each quarter.
  - We also have, you know, more informal

447 1 meetings more regularly, but... Q. Right. And there's -- let's -- let's 2 3 stick to the all-hands for now. Some of the -- the all-hands meetings 4 were recorded in some fashion, is that correct? 5 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 6 7 I -- I -- I'm not aware. Q. So, for example, are you aware as to 8 whether they were videotaped? 9 10 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. A. I -- I think some of them, particularly 11 12 as we became more global, we started recording 13 them so that people in other time zones -- if 14 you're in Singapore and we're doing an all-hands at, you know, 10 a.m. on a Monday, that's a pretty 15 16 God-awful time for the team in Singapore. And so 17 we would have, I think, recorded them and 18 encouraged the team members in Singapore to watch 19 them. 20 Did you speak -- after you became CEO, Q. 21 did you speak at all at these all-hands meetings? 22 As a general matter. I understand you might have 23 missed one, but generally speaking. 24 A. Generally speaking, yes. Did Mr. Larsen speak at -- at the 25

449 Q. When you were being recorded, did you know? 2 3 MR. SOLOMON: Okay. Okay. I -- I don't recall. 4 A Did you ever ask anyone at Ripple not to 5 record any of these meetings? 6 I don't believe so. I don't recall 7 knowing that they were always being recorded, so I 8 9 don't think I would have taken the energy to say 10 let's not record them. Q. Okay. Let's do Exhibit 101. 11 12 MR. TENREIRO: And do you want to 13 explain or do you want me -- what do you 14 want to do? MR. BAMBERGER: Why don't I do it 15 16 and you can correct. 17 MR. TENREIRO: Okay. 18 MR. BAMBERGER: And so there are a number of transcripts the SEC's counsel 19 20 has provided to Mr. Garlinghouse's counsel 21 prior to the questioning of him. They run to over 300 pages. And so --22 23 collectively. 24 And so the agreement that we've made is that Mr. Garlinghouse will not --25

has not had the opportunity to review the transcripts in their entirety. The SEC's counsel has pointed us to portions of the transcripts he expects to question

Mr. Garlinghouse about. We've asked

Mr. Garlinghouse to review those portions that the SEC has designated.

They're, in several of them,

portions prior to the portions the SEC

has designated, in which there are other

discussions of other aspects of Ripple's

business. We have highlighted those

portions in pen. And to the extent those

discussions are, in Mr. Garlinghouse's

mind, relevant to his answers to the

questions the SEC's counsel poses to him,

he should look at those portions.

MR. TENREIRO: I think that's

fair. And I will add that I also stated

to Mr. Bamberger, Bamberger

(pronunciation), that to the extent that

that is necessary for -- to read

additional parts, we're going to seek more

time because, as he said, these are over

300 pages long and it would take hours to

```
451
   1
             read all of them.
                      MR. BAMBERGER: And we reserve
   2
   3
             our right to object to --
                      MR. TENREIRO: Yeah, exactly.
   4
             Same thing.
   5
                      (Whereupon, exhibit is received
   6
   7
             and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
            Exhibit 101 for identification.)
  8
        BY MR. TENREIRO:
 9
10
           Q. Okay. So I'm going to start with 101
        and I'm going to tell you, Mr. Garlinghouse, that
11
12
        my understanding is that this was recorded on
13
       October 12th, 2017.
                    MR. TENREIRO: So here's
14
            Bridget's copy. Here's Matt's copy.
15
             Here's the extra and yours.
 16
 17
            Q. And, as your counsel said, there's
 18
       pencil markings, which is the part that I'd like
       you to read now.
  19
 20
            A. Thank you.
                      MR. TENREIRO: And so for the
  21
 22
             people that are looking at it that don't
 23
             have it marked, I'm looking at the third
 24
             page that says "SEC SEC-E-10454."
        BY MR. TENREIRO:
  25
```

452 0. Mr. Garlinghouse, on the -- on the left 1 side, there's sort of a page and line number. So 2 3 for the record, I'm going to ask -- I've asked you to read what's marked page 7, line 13 to page 8, 4 5 line 2. Thank you. 6 (Pause) 7 Okay. A. Okay. Do you see here that you referred 8 to a chart of XRP's stock price? 9 10 A. I see that reference, yes. Q. Okay. And did you make that mistake 11 12 often in 2017? 13 A. I don't think so, no. 14 Q. Did others at Ripple? A. I don't think so. 15 16 Q. Why do you think you made that mistake? 17 A. Without looking at the visual, I don't 18 know. Okay. You mean the videotape recording? 19 20 No, I mean -- I think I'm referencing a chart that I think is on the screen. 21 22 0. I see. 23 A. And sometimes how the data is presented 24 in a chart could influence how one might -- it might have looked like a, quote, stock chart. 25

453 1 O. Sure. Sure. And so from reading this part, you 2 3 believe there was some sort of visual you were using at the meeting? 4 A. Well, I think what this transcript says 5 is "This is a chart of XRP's stock...not stock 6 price. Sorry. (Laughter)." So the chart, I'm 7 presuming, was somehow shown to the company in a 8 visual manifestation. 9 10 Q. Generally speaking, when you had these all-hands meetings, did you have a visual, sort 11 12 of, like PowerPoint slides or any other visual 13 aid? MR. SOLOMON: Hold on one second. 14 15 Hold on one second. 16 MR. TENREIRO: I'm sorry, do we 17 need to go off the record? Because I don't -- let's go off the record if you 18 want to discuss. 19 20 THE WITNESS: That's fine. 21 MR. TENREIRO: Off the record, 22 please. 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 24 record at 7:05. (Pause) 25

454 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on at 7:09. 2 3 BY MR. TENREIRO: Q. Okay. Mr. Garlinghouse, I was asking 4 you, did you typically have visual aids like 5 6 PowerPoints or other visual aids for the all-hands 7 meetings? A. Yes. 8 Who prepared them for you? 9 Multiple people, generally led by the 10 Comms team or marketing team. 11 12 Q. Okay. And why did you prepare them? 13 A. I didn't prepare them. 14 Q. Why did you have them prepared? 15 Well, it's not that often -- obviously, as we talked about, it's a quarterly all-hands. 16 17 It's not that often we have the whole company 18 together. And I think treating that -- if we're 19 going to invest that much time in bringing 20 together hundreds of people, we should prepare the 21 time and energy to make sure they're thought 22 through a bit and communicating the information 23 that would be most interesting and relevant to our 24 employees. Q. Okay. And what -- where are those 25

```
455
   1
       PowerPoint present -- were they PowerPoint
       presentations?
   2
            A. Probably not. They're probably Google
   3
       slides.
   4
          Q. And where are they?
   5
   6
                   MR. SOLOMON: Objection.
   7
                 I -- I don't know. I don't -- maybe I
       don't understand the question.
  8
  9
           Q. To the extent that they were electronic
10
       files, where are they now?
11
                     MR. SOLOMON: Objection.
12
           A.
                 I presume they are stored on --
13
                     MR. SOLOMON: Do you know where
14
            they are?
15
                      THE WITNESS: No, I don't know
            where they are.
 16
 17
            Q. What's your best understanding as to
 18
       where something like that would be?
  19
            A. Ripple predominantly, as I think you are
 20
       aware, uses Google Docs. And so in Ripple's --
  21
       directly and indirectly, Ripple's data
 22
       infrastructure, some of them would be stored or
 23
       maybe they've been deleted over time. 2017 is a
 24
       long time ago.
            Q. Okay. And the all-hands meetings, is
  25
```

it -- were you discussing -- I'm trying to get a sense as to what you selected to discuss at these meetings.

Was it everything under the sun that had happened at the company or did you try to focus on the important developments since the last meeting?

MR. SOLOMON: To the extent you can answer a very general question with a very general answer, obviously do that.

- A. I mean, the first thing I'm going to say is I'm not sure I was the one selecting. And I think given that I don't think I was the one selecting, I would have looked to others to determine what was most poignant and relevant to our employees.
- Q. And would the others be your direct reports?
- A. Sorry. Could you ask that question again?
- Q. Yeah. Would the others you referenced -- you said "I would have looked to others to determine what was more poignant and relevant to our employees." Would those others be your direct reports?
  - A. Some of them, but not all of them. Some

457 of the people involved would not have been my 1 direct reports. 2 3 Q. Okay. And did you give them any directive as to what sort of information they 4 should select for presentation at the all-hands 5 meetings? 6 7 I -- at any of the meetings ever did I ever encourage --8 Q. No. I was asking for more general. 9 10 Did you give more general guidance to them when you started being CEO about what you 11 12 wanted to present to the company? 13 A. I -- I don't have a specific recollection of that, but I expect that I would 14 have -- with their guidance and leadership, I 15 trusted that team. I would have collaborated with 16 them about what should be presented. 17 18 Okay. And, generally speaking, how long did these meetings last, the all-hands? 19 20 A. Probably 90 minutes. 21 Q. Okay. And did -- again, generally --I'm not asking for a specific one. Generally, 22 23 were there occasions where different Ripple 24 employees presented information? 25 A. Generally speaking, more than one person

would speak. In fact, I think oftentimes I would do the smallest amount of the total time spent.

- Q. Okay. Did you attend a meeting, a
  Ripple meeting, where Ms. O'Gorman and others
  discussed the legal status of XRP under the
  securities laws? I'm not asking you for the
  substance of the discussion. I'm just asking for
  a yes or no, did you attend such a meeting?
- A. Just because I'm losing the -- the thread here. Nothing to do with all-hands.

  Nothing to do with what's in front of me.

  Separate question. Could you ask that question again that --
- Q. Did you attend a meeting, a Ripple meeting, where Ms. O'Gorman and others discussed the legal status of XRP under the securities law?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you to take a look at 99, which I have here.

20 (Whereupon, exhibit is received
21 and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
22 Exhibit 99 for identification.)

23 BY MR. TENREIRO:

Q. All right. So 99, to my understanding, is December 12th, 2017. And, again, I marked it

460 1 the date. Q. The date is not reflected there. I'm 2 telling you the date based on the production from 3 counsel. 4 A. And, sorry, can you tell me the date 5 again? 6 7 12 December 2017. Q. A. 12 December 2017? 8 And would --9 Q. Do you still have Exhibit 33 in front of 10 you? I think it's that one. I think it's this 11 12 one. 13 A. Yep. Q. All right. Actually -- well, this will 14 be relevant in a sec. Just read the pencil part, 15 please, of the Exhibit 99. 16 A. I'm just trying to make sure I 17 18 understand what I'm reading. 19 Q. My understanding is that it's a 20 recording of an all -- all-hands meeting that occurred on December 12th, 2017. 21 22 A. At the Ripple offices? 23 Q. You'd have to ask your counsel. I don't 24 know where the recordings were made. I assume so. MR. CERESNEY: That's not a 25

```
461
   1
              question for counsel. That's a question
             for the witness.
   2
   3
                      MR. TENREIRO: That's right.
                      MR. CERESNEY: We produced the
   4
   5
             documents. Doesn't necessarily mean we're
             going to -- we know exactly where it was
   6
   7
             recorded.
        BY MR. TENREIRO:
  8
         Q. Did Ripple have all-hands meetings
   9
        outside of the Ripple office?
10
            A.
                 Yes.
11
12
             Q. Oh, okay. And were those recorded?
 13
                 I believe so -- to be honest, I don't
  14
        know which ones were and weren't, as I said
        earlier. So I don't know.
  15
  16
             Q. Okay.
  17
            A. Part of the reason I was asking that
  18
        question was where it was recorded would tell me
        if it was in our old office, new office. It would
  19
  20
        just help me understand the context for what's
       being presented, but...
  21
                      MR. SOLOMON: Why don't you read
  22
  23
            this passage. And if there's more of it
  24
             that you need to read, based on the
  25
             agreement we made with the SEC, we can
```

```
462
   1
             direct you to additional portions of the
             document --
   2
                      THE WITNESS: Okay. Sounds good.
   3
                     MR. SOLOMON: -- as needed.
   4
                     MR. WARD: And just for clarity
   5
            of the record and for those of us who
   6
   7
             don't have a copy, could I ask the page
             and line numbers be read into the record
 8
             that we're talking about?
 9
10
                     MR. TENREIRO: Sure. I already
11
            read them.
12
                   MR. WARD: Oh, you did?
13
                    MR. TENREIRO: Yeah. I already
14
            read them, so --
15
                     MR. WARD: That's fine.
 16
                     MR. SOLOMON: Do you -- do you
 17
           not have a copy?
                     MR. WARD: No, we have a copy.
 18
                    MR. SOLOMON: Okay. It's 10277,
  19
 20
             line 1, through 10278, line -- page 27,
             line 7.
  21
                MR. TENREIRO: Yeah. That's
  22
  23
            correct, Matt. I asked -- right now the
             transcript says 267, maybe this is just a
  24
  25
             typo, but it is 277.
```

463 1 MR. SOLOMON: Okay. Thanks. (Pause) 2 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. TENREIRO: 4 All right. So I'm -- do you see 5 generally that you talk about the 4,000 percent 6 increase in the price of XRP in 2017? 7 A. I -- I was a little bit confused by the 8 transcript because, you know, I don't know how the 9 10 transcript's created, but it -- it doesn't reference XRP, I don't think. I mean, I 11 12 understand why you might assume that. But it's 13 referencing Dash and I don't know if it's talking 14 about a digital asset called Dash that's up 4,000 percent or it's referencing XRP. 15 Is it fair to say that in this portion 16 of the transcript, you are nevertheless discussing 17 18 the price of XRP, for example, where you say "But 19 if you just look at the last three months, XRP has 20 underperformed the market"? 21 Yeah. Much further down -- and, again, without seeing the video itself -- much further 22 23 down, separate from the 4,000 percent, which I 24 think you attributed to the performance of XRP, 25 which I don't know if that's correct, I'm

- suggesting that XRP has underperformed the market.

  Maybe I'm presenting a slide that talks about lots of different crypto assets and their performance and I'm showing a chart saying that XRP has underperformed in the last three months.
  - Q. Okay. And then you say "Anyway, the point I'm making here is I'm going to continue to beat the drum that it isn't about three days, three weeks or three months. It's about three years or five years. If we execute our strategy successfully and we get lots of financial institutions around the world using our services, using our solutions, it's going to drive demand for XRP, and I am much more worried about that three- to five-year arc of time than a three day."

    Do you see that?
    - A. Yes.

- Q. And are you -- is this similar to statements you made publicly about your focus on three to five years for the XRP markets?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then you say "Now I'm going to contradict some of what I just said. It matters that we stay in the lead pack. If people perceive us as an after-run and kind of dropping

A. Where are you -- where are you reading? What line?

- Q. Towards the bottom. "If people perceive us as an after-run and kind of dropping down the ranking, if you will, as measured by market" capitalization -- I know the transcript says "capital." So is your testimony that the "us" in that sentence is XRP?
- A. I -- I think I'm referring to XRP in that context, yes.
- Q. Okay. And why are you using "us" to refer to XRP?
  - A. I -- I don't know if the transcript's correctly -- correct and whether or not I actually said that, but I -- I also could have misspoke.
- Q. And then you say "I think that is, you know, the perception of Ripple, the brand perception of Ripple shifts a little bit."

So is it -- were -- were you expressing the idea that if the market capitalization of XRP went down, the brand perception of Ripple shifted?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And when you talk about the ranking, is this a reference to sort of what we've

Case 1:20-cv-10832-AT-SN Document 860-85 Filed 00/13/23 Page 468 of 555 467 1 discussed earlier, the ranking, the top three, you know, when you see the market capitalizations of 2 3 bitcoin and other digital assets? MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 4 5 A. Yes. Okay. And is it still true today that 6 7 you worried that if XRP is seen as dropping in this ranking, that affects Ripple's brand 8 negatively? 9 10 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 11 So if XRP is not widely listed on 12 exchanges and doesn't have robust volume, which 13 would happen if there's fewer exchanges listing it -- exchanges are motivated to list the most 14 liquid digital assets because those are the ones 15 that trade the most. They get fees based upon 16 trading, as we discussed earlier. 17 18 If the fees that they get from one 19 digital asset versus another digital asset reduce, 20 they might be inclined to either not list XRP, 21

which is not good for Ripple's desire to have lots of liquidity between XRP fees and fiat pairs, or, in some cases, halt trading, delist, what have you.

Q. Okay. Let me try again.

22

23

24

25

Is it still -- is it still true today
that you worry that if XRP is seen as dropping in
this ranking, that that affects Ripple's brand
negatively?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form.

A. I'm making the point that if Ripple can't deliver robust products, clearly that would affect our brand perception. If -- if there's not robust liquidity in the XRP markets between as many currency pairs -- when -- when liquidity in XRP goes down, that isn't good for what Ripple's trying to do with our products. If we can't execute -- and people understand this in the marketplace.

And so as -- as XRP has gone from being number two to number three, after the SEC lawsuit, to number six, that's not good for Ripple's business.

- Q. Number six in what? Market capitalization?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And just to pick up on what you just said, "When liquidity in XRP goes down, that isn't good for what Ripple's trying to do with our products."

So is it fair to say that when there are fewer buyers and sellers of XRP, that's not good for what Ripple's trying to do with the products? I think my testimony was when there's less liquidity, there could be fewer and more liquidity, right? I mean, if you have really aggressive market makers that are trading actively, there could be lots of liquidity. Q. Okay. MR. TENREIRO: Let's take a look at 129. Actually, I'm going to have to ask Bridget to mark it because there's no -- there's no -- there's no marking on it. Just write it? THE REPORTER: And I'll put a sticker on it after. MR. TENREIRO: Okay, great. And here's yours.

17

MR. SOLOMON: Okay.

(Whereupon, exhibit is received and marked Garlinghouse Deposition Exhibit 129 for identification.)

23 BY MR. TENREIRO:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

This one I'm going to ask you to read the whole thing, sir. That's for Bridget and this

470 1 is for you and this is for your counsel. And I need one of the rest. This is a shorter one, but 2 3 you should just read the whole thing. And in terms of -- my understanding is 4 this was December 14, although you'll notice in 5 6 the first line you say "We just went yesterday." 7 So possibly the 13th. I'm not sure, but we understand this is ... 8 I'm sorry, I don't -- I don't know what 9 10 the context is. Where is -- where? When? I guess you said it's December --11 12 Q. My understanding is that it's the 14th. 13 But if you read the first line, you say "Some of 14 you are wondering, hey, Brad, what's up? We just met yesterday." 15 A. Is this an all-hands event or a media --16 17 Q. You'll have to tell me after you read it what you recall. 18 MR. SOLOMON: Just read it. See 19 20 if you remember. 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. SOLOMON: Tell him as best 22 23 you can with whatever qualifications you 24 need. (Pause) 25

471 1 BY MR. TENREIRO: Q. Okay. Mr. Garlinghouse, having reviewed 2 the transcript, can you tell me why you had this 3 meeting? 4 A. My interpretation from reading the 5 transcript is that given the craziness going on in 6 7 the crypto markets, and specifically with XRP, I wanted to remind people that we have products to 8 build, code to ship, customers to serve. And I 9 10 was pointing out that it could be easily distracting when the volatility is what it sounds 11 12 like it was. 13 Q. The -- the -- the rapid swings in price? That's volatility? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. And you said you've "checked XRP 16 17 more today than I probably have in the last week combined." 18 Is that a reference to checking the 19 20 price charts for XRP? 21 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Towards the beginning, you say 22 23 "I've been stressed because every time the price of XRP goes up, the expectations of everyone in 24 this room go up." 25

472 1 Do you see that? I -- I -- I saw that when I read it. If 2 you tell me which line, I'm happy to take another 3 look at it. 4 Q. Yeah. It's page 3, line 6. It actually 5 starts at 5 -- no, page 3. If you look on the 6 7 left side, there's a little marker. A. Oh, gotcha. Okay. 8 Q. Expectations from whom? 9 10 A. I don't know who I was referencing here. Then you say "the expectations on me go 11 0. 12 up." 13 Whose expectations are you referencing 14 there? A. Maybe the employees. I don't know. 15 Q. And then you say "The expectations that 16 17 everyone has about what Ripple is trying to do 18 goes up." 19 Whose expectations are you referring to 20 there? A. Maybe our customers. I don't know. 21 22 And when you talk about your 0. 23 customers -- when you say your customers in that 24 answer, what Ripple customers are you referring to? 25

```
473
                 Financial institutions.
1
            A.
  2
                 And which financial institutions were
       Ripple's customers in December of 2017?
  3
4
            A. According to Exhibit 33,
5
6
                      THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I'm
7
             not getting that. "According to Exhibit
             33 . . . "?
 8
9
            A. According to Exhibit 33,
 10
 11
                   to name a few.
                 Was Ripple selling XRP to those
 12
       institutions?
 13
 14
                 Some of them.
            A.
                 Which ones?
 15
            Q.
 16
            A.
                 For what purpose?
 17
            A. I don't recall. I just know that
 18
       has been a purchaser of XRP.
 19
                      THE REPORTER: "I just know..."?
 20
 21
                      THE WITNESS: I just -- sorry, I
 22
            was covering my mouth.
 23
                     had been a purchaser of XRP.
                 In 2017 did you know for what purpose
 24
            Q.
 25
       they were a purchaser?
```

474 1 I don't recall. Okay. And is it your testimony that you 2 believe that those institutions' expectations of 3 Ripple go up when the price of XRP goes up? 4 MR. SOLOMON: Objection; form. 5 6 I think the attention on Ripple, the 7 company, is -- has been -- the attention had been correlated with the attention around the XRP 8 markets. 9 10 Q. Why? Because people associate us with XRP. 11 A. 12 Q. And why do they do that? 13 A. I would be speculating. Depends on 14 which audience. Ripple owns XRP and so we are -as we discussed earlier today, things like 15 CoinMarketCap incorrectly listed XRP as Ripple for 16 17 some period of time. 18 Q. In the next page of the document, on the 19 left, it's page 4, 11, you say that what stresses 20 you out is "the height of the tree. The 21 expectations are really high. The trunk hasn't 22 built out and everyone in this room needs to help 23 build out that trunk." 24 Do you see that?

25

A.

Yes.

Q. That's sort of a -- a pretty graphic analogy. Can you explain it to me? What -- what's -- what's the height of the tree here? What's the tree and what's the trunk?

- MR. SOLOMON: If you remember.
- A. Yeah. I -- I -- I don't remember specifically. I mean, I think this -- the story I'm trying to tell is that the attention on crypto markets, the attention on Ripple, has gone up.

  And we need to be in a position to deliver on the promises we made to customers.
  - Q. What promises did you make to customers?
- A. Well, when we sign a contract, we agree to deliver certain things. We've -- we're building -- trying to build out an internet of value and we have done things like the Global Payments Steering Group and creating rulebooks around how global payment infrastructure can and should work between counterparties.
- Q. And so is it your testimony that when the -- that your perception around December of 2017 was that if the price of XRP went up, there was an increased pressure to deliver on promises you had made in contracts with customers?
  - A. I think my testimony was that the

```
476
       attention on Ripple, the company, certainly has
   1
        some, in my experience, correlation with the
   2
   3
       attention on crypto and the attention on XRP.
           Q. Okay. Let's set that aside. I'm going
   4
       to ask you to look at Exhibit 90.
   5
                      (Whereupon, exhibit is received
   6
  7
             and marked Garlinghouse Deposition
             Exhibit 90 for identification.)
 8
                   MR. TENREIRO: Here you go.
  9
10
             Thank you.
                    MS. BUNTING: Which exhibit is
11
12
           this?
13
                     MR. TENREIRO: 90. Nine zero.
14
                     MS. BUNTING: 90. Thank you.
                     (Pause)
15
                     MR. TENREIRO: This is a
 16
 17
           four-page exhibit, RPLI SEC 54005, a
18
             thread around January -- early January
 19
             2018.
 20
                     (Pause)
 21
                     THE WITNESS: Okay.
 22
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 23
           Q. Mr. Garlinghouse, this thread appears to
 24
       include a markets update from Dinuka Samarasinghe,
       is that right?
  25
```